

Re: OT: sea level 'rise' hmmmmm....

Re: OT: sea level 'rise' hmmmmm....

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-07/msg02425.html>

- *From:* Eeyore <rabbitsfriendsandrelations@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 15 Jul 2008 11:18:34 +0100
-

Jonathan Kirwan wrote:

Eeyore wrote:

Jonathan Kirwan wrote:

don@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx (Don Klipstein) wrote:

According to Loehle's "corrected global temperature reconstruction" which ends with 1925, peak of MWP was about .45 degree C warmer than 1925.

Global temperature since 1925 has warmed by more than .45 degree C.

Also see:

<http://www.realclimate.org/index.php/archives/2007/12/past-reconstructions/>

Funny how they like to dismiss Loehle when Mann's work was found to be riddled with errors.

What's funny is that they aren't being dismissive, at all, but took it seriously and dealt with it and that you don't notice the difference. You, on the other hand, ARE dismissive.

It took AIUI a year or so of independent unfunded work to discover Mann's errors. The IPCC HID the raw data claiming copyright.

In comparison Loehle accepted a little peer review criticism of his work virtually

Re: OT: sea level 'rise' hmmmmm....

Re: OT: sea level 'rise' hmmm... .

instantly and republished with minor corrections inside ~ 6 months. The interesting thing is that the criticism of Loehle's work was in minor detail only and did not affect its basic premise, whereas Mann's work fell totally on its head.

Graham

.