

Re: OT: sea level 'rise' hmmm....

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-07/msg02427.html>

- *From:* Jonathan Kirwan <jkirwan@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 15 Jul 2008 10:29:00 GMT
-

On Tue, 15 Jul 2008 11:18:34 +0100, Eeyore
<rabbitsfriendsandrelations@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Jonathan Kirwan wrote:

Eeyore wrote:

Jonathan Kirwan wrote:

don@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx (Don Klipstein)
wrote:

According to Loehle's
"corrected global
temperature reconstruction"
which ends with 1925, peak
of MWP was about .45
degree C warmer than 1925.

Global temperature since
1925 has warmed by more
than .45 degree C.

Also see:

<http://www.realclimate.org/index.php/archives/2007/12/past-reconstructions/>

Funny how they like to dismiss Loehle when Mann's work
was found to be riddled
with errors.

What's funny is that they aren't being dismissive, at all, but took it
seriously and dealt with it and that you don't notice the difference.
You, on the other hand, ARE dismissive.

Re: OT: sea level 'rise' hmmm... .

It took AIUI a year or so of independent unfunded work to discover Mann's errors. The IPCC HID the raw data claiming copyright.

In comparison Loehle accepted a little peer review criticism of his work virtually instantly and republished with minor corrections inside ~ 6 months. The interesting thing is that the criticism of Loehle's work was in minor detail only and did not affect its basic premise, whereas Mann's work fell totally on its head.

No, he didn't deal with several other serious objections. Just one, if I recall.

Jon

.