

# Re: OT: interesting global warming quote found elsewhere

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-08/msg01841.html>

---

- *From:* [bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx](mailto:bill.sloman@xxxxxxxx)
  - *Date:* Sat, 9 Aug 2008 22:15:39 -0700 (PDT)
- 

On Aug 9, 7:20 am, Joerg <notthisjoerg...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

bill.slo...@xxxxxxxx wrote:

On Aug 7, 2:27 am, James Arthur <bogusabd...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

bill.slo...@xxxxxxxx wrote:

On Aug 6, 7:21 am, Eeyore  
<rabbitsfriendsandrelati...@xxxxxxxx>  
wrote:

James Arthur wrote:

Joerg wrote:

Eeyore  
wrote:

Joerg  
wrote:

bill.slo...@xxxxxxxx  
wrote:

Joerg  
>  
wrote:

bill.slo...@xxxxxxxx  
wrote:

<snip>

Re: OT: interesting global warming quote found elsewhere

However, Mann can't have secrecy and scientific acceptance at the same time; these are incompatible.

Mann not wanting to reveal his raw data probably has more to do with practicalities than secrecy.

ROFL!

You can't assert trade secret status on something you've published.

That's why they don't get published until they've been patented

Quite a lot of publications are very careful not include the patentable details (which are trade secrets until they are patented).

Quite a lot of politicians are very careful not to include details that could turn into a smoking gun.

Nor does the aforementioned exempt Mann from scientific review.

No one was suggesting that it did. However, your idea of scientific review seems to come closer to what most scientists would regard as some kind of inquisition.

So, to verify someone's scientific conclusions is now an inquisition? Oh man ...

That the logical fallacy of the excluded middle. Normal peer-review doesn't involve going through somebody's raw data.

Not to mention the hubris of holding one's proprietary interest above that of the entire planet.

Re: OT: interesting global warming quote found elsewhere

That's Exxon–Mobil's position. Mann's reservations are trivial in comparison.

If you want to publish, you must reveal.

If only that were true.

It is true. Else they won't take you seriously.

Don't be silly. The level of revelation that McIntyre was after was very unusual. Most people in science who are taken seriously have never revealed their raw data and would be incredulous if anybody asked for it.

Just as I don't take a lot of the AGW papers serious anymore. The vast majority of folks with or without academic education in this area doesn't either.

Which reflects the success of Exxon–Mobil's campaign to muddy the water.

--

Bill Sloman, Nijmegen

.