

Re: OT: interesting global warming quote found elsewhere

Re: OT: interesting global warming quote found elsewhere

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-08/msg02965.html>

- *From:* Kris Krieger <me@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 17 Aug 2008 12:21:47 -0500
-

Richard Henry <pomerado@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in
news:b0c90056-a710-40c5-926f-ed42fa0b5e34@xx:

On Aug 15, 4:31 pm, Eeyore <rabbitsfriendsandrelati...@xxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

Kris Krieger wrote:

Eeyore wrote

Joerg wrote:

Kris Krieger wrote:

Joerg wrote

Kris
Krieger
wrote:

So
what's
the
big
deal?

Letters
and
the
like
in
magazines
by

Re: OT: interesting global warming quote found elsewhere

scientists
are
to
be
taken
a

s

seriously
as
papers.
After
all,
the
scientist
has
put
his/her
nam

e

right
above
or
under
it.
AGW
and
anti-AGW
argumentation
includes
many
other
scientists,
plus
whole
institutes.

My point
was that I
don't
wknow that
articels/letters
are
reviewed as
rigorously,
or are

Re: OT: interesting global warming quote found elsewhere

supposed to
live up to
the same
format
standards,
as are
actualy
papers. My
impression
is no,
but I'd have
to ask my
friend who
does R&D
(and has
published
a number of
papers over
the past 20
or so years,
and also
co-suthored
several
biotech
patents).

It's a matter of ethics. In the
same way I design my
circuits
for clients with the same
amount of diligence,
regardless of
whether thi

s

will have to pass agency
muster or not. If a scientist
published
letters or what IEEE calls
"rapid communications" it
better be
good or the reputation will
have a rather serious dent.
And
rightfully so

Re: OT: interesting global warming quote found elsewhere

What we have in this group are (mainly) a bunch of competent engineers. Engineers HAVE to get things right or face the consequences. Insolvency, negligence cases, total extinction of their company or career.

Now try putting that test to the AGW movement.

Go right ahead – get the data, do the analysis, and make your own "movement" based upon that process.

One unsubsidised person against thousand of well paid UN employees ?

Pretty funny. Please continue.

While I finish laughing and open a beer, read this:

<http://www.ipcc.ch/about/ipcc-secretariat.htm>

It appears the IPCC has seven (7) employees. As for well paid, I couldn't answer that.

When someone else is well-paid, it's a conspiracy; when oneself is well-paid, it's how things are supposed to be...

.