

Re: OT: Global cooling gains momentum among scientists

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-09/msg00511.html>

- *From:* JosephKK <quiettechblue@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 05 Sep 2008 21:34:59 -0700
-

On Thu, 04 Sep 2008 15:43:21 -0700, "Paul Hovnanian P.E." <paul@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

JosephKK wrote:

On Tue, 02 Sep 2008 10:06:22 -0700, "Paul Hovnanian P.E." <paul@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Eeyore wrote:

Russian solar physicists Galina Mashnich and Vladimir Bashkirtsev are so convinced that global temperatures will cool within the next decade they have placed a \$10,000 wager with a United Kingdom scientist to prove their certainty. The criteria for the \$10,000 bet will be to compare global temperatures between 1998 and 2003 with those between 2012 and 2017. The loser will pay up in 2018, according to an April 16, 2007, article in Live Science.

Australian engineer Peter Harris says that the Earth is nearing the end of the typical interglacial cycle and is due for a sudden cooling climate change. ?Based on this analysis we can say that there is a 94 percent probability of imminent global cooling and the beginning of the coming ice age.

Re: OT: Global cooling gains momentum among scientists

?Climate is becoming unstable,? Harris went on to say. ?Most of these major natural processes that we are witnessing now are interdependent and occur at the end of each interglacial period, ultimately causing sudden long-term cooling.?

Oleg Sorokhtin, merited scientist of Russia and fellow of the Russian Academy of Natural Sciences and staff researcher of the Oceanology Institute, says to ?stock up on fur coats and felt boots! Earth is now at the peak of one of its passing warm spells. It started in the 17th century when there was no industrial influence on the climate to speak of and no such thing as the hothouse effect.

?Carbon dioxide is not to blame for global climate change, Sorokhtin said. ?Solar activity is many times more powerful than the energy produced by the whole of humankind. Man?s influence on nature is a drop in the ocean.?

Its this statement that leads me to believe that this guy doesn't understand the theory of AGW well enough to debate it. Its not the energy that mankind produces, its the change in atmospheric chemistry, caused by man, which changes the rae of solar energy flow into/out of our environment.

Canadian climatologist Timothy Ball said, ?If we are facing (a crisis) at all, I think it is that we are preparing for warming when it is looking like we are cooling. We are preparing for the wrong thing.?

On the impact of carbon dioxide on global temperature, United Kingdom astrophysicist Piers Corbyn said, ?There is no evidence that carbon

Re: OT: Global cooling gains momentum among scientists

dioxide has ever driven or will ever drive world temperatures and climate change. Worrying about carbon dioxide is irrelevant.?

And then there are those who say it is relevant. And they have produced models which demonstrate its effects. Are the models correct, incorrect, or incomplete? I don't know. But I'm much more likely to listen to an argument that doesn't throw out the basic physics but builds upon it to explain alternative outcomes.

So there you have it folks – solid evidence from the other side of the global warming fence and critical thinking I'm sure you won't hear much about outside this space.

It looks more like opinion to me. Solid evidence looks more like tables, charts and such with data that doesn't fit the AGW model. And some hypothesis about what the AGW folks have missed that would explain this data.

To be honest, I'm not sure which global weather consequence is more daunting – to be ice fishing in Florida or planting cotton in Maine. But politicians and the popular press should speak out for the resumption of genuine, open debate on climate change. Global warming is not necessarily a foregone conclusion.

What will be really funny is if the greenhouse gasses and subsequent increase in solar energy absorption end up being an increase in an input into a chaotic system. We'll have an ice age on our hands, for which we have responsibility. But we can rightly say, "Ha! The global

Re: OT: Global cooling gains momentum among scientists

WARMING
people were nuts!"

<http://deltafarmpress.com/news/robinson-column-0825/>

It's an agriculture site btw, not an Exxon
one.

Paul, how about a full copy of the current AGW Climate model with all
variables, assumptions, settings, and initial conditions defined.

Why? I'm not interested in defending it. I just want to pick apart bad
science, statements of opinion and shut off all the people that think
defending their position involves calling the other side a bunch of
idiots.

Do your own thing. I prefer Martin Brown's response.