

Re: Sarah Palin – hot or not?

Re: Sarah Palin – hot or not?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-09/msg01010.html>

- *From:* Simon S Aysdie <gwhite@xxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 8 Sep 2008 11:06:44 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Sep 6, 4:26 pm, "Paul Hovnanian P.E." <p...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Simon S Aysdie wrote:

On Sep 5, 4:44 pm, "Paul Hovnanian P.E." <p...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

"Paul Hovnanian P.E." wrote:

Hattori Hanzo wrote:

On Thu, 04 Sep 2008
17:56:55 -0700, "Paul
Hovnanian P.E."
<p...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

John Larkin
wrote:

On
Wed,
3
Sep
2008
20:49:16
-0700,
Industrial
One
<inudstrial_...@xxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

Re: Sarah Palin – hot or not?

I
think
Condoleeza's
got
better
booty
but
Sarah
will
def.
get
the
milf
(gilf?)
vote.

That
was
the
best
political
speech
I've
ever
heard.
It
was
brilliant.

John

I'm still
waiting to
hear what
the
McCain/Palin
team plans
to do if
elected.

Do? About what?

Re: Sarah Palin – hot or not?

The economy. The war. The environment.
The other war. Energy. The other,
other war (not yet announced, but coming
Reel Soon Now).

A couple I almost forgot: Our Constitution (when do we get
it back)?

Never. In the US, there are too many whining statistis at this point,
the guvmint throwing legions of suckers crumbs to mollify them. There
is no path to restoration.

Technology (why are we falling so far behind the world in
broadband
access). Healthcare (they're still keeping Cheney alive.
Shouldn't that
be the baseline for care?)

National Healthcare would be illegal in the US. The federal
government was never granted such power. SS is illegal too, along
with the litany of other guvmint largesse/programs.

The Supreme Court decides what is legal or illegal (Constitutional, that
is. Congress is free to do what it wants within those bounds). Last time
I checked, there was nobody on the SCOTUS named Simon S. Aysdie.

Oh cool. "Self government" means that one must take the word of a few
black robes as if they were gods. Brilliant! Who knew?