

Re: Something for Joerg, maybe

Re: Something for Joerg, maybe

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2008-10/msg01810.html>

- *From:* Glen Walpert <nospam@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 13 Oct 2008 13:36:59 GMT
-

On Sun, 12 Oct 2008 18:55:22 -0700, John Larkin
<jjSNIPlarkin@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Sun, 12 Oct 2008 17:32:44 -0700, Jim Thompson
<To-Email-Use-The-Envelope-Icon@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Sun, 12 Oct 2008 17:15:59 -0700, Joerg
<notthisjoergsch@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Jim Thompson wrote:

On Sun, 12 Oct 2008 16:21:48 -0700, John
Larkin
<jjSNIPlarkin@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

On Sun, 12 Oct 2008
15:10:17 -0700, Jim
Thompson
<To-Email-Use-The-Envelope-Icon@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

On Sun, 12
Oct 2008
14:18:35
-0700, John
Larkin
<jjSNIPlarkin@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

On
Sun,

Re: Something for Joerg, maybe

12
Oct
2008
13:55:25
-0700,
Jim
Thompson
<To-Email-Use-The-Envelope-Icon@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

On
Sun,
12
Oct
2008
16:33:29
-0400,
Phil
Hobbs
<pcdhSpamMeSenseless@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

Martin
Griffith
wrote:

<http://www.linear.com/pc/productDe>

martin

Wow,
that
'typical
application'
internal
schematic
is
a
new
low
for
LTC.