

Re: why not to buy a Ford

Re: why not to buy a Ford

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.design/2009-01/msg02529.html>

- *From:* nico@xxxxxxxxxxx (Nico Coesel)
 - *Date:* Sun, 11 Jan 2009 21:26:20 GMT
-

krw <krw@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Sat, 10 Jan 2009 09:55:33 -0700, Jim Thompson
<To-Email-Use-The-Envelope-Icon@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Sat, 10 Jan 2009 10:31:16 -0600, krw <krw@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

On Sat, 10 Jan 2009 02:56:22 +0000, Eeyore
<rabbitsfriendsandrelations@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

John Larkin wrote:

Jim Thompson wrote:

John Larkin
wrote:

http://news.cnet.com/8301-13772_3-10138054-52.html

You're
so-o-o-o
slow John...
I stopped
buying Ford
after
experiencing
a 1977 LTD
:-(

Re: why not to buy a Ford

...Jim
Thompson

The 70's were notorious for bad American cars. Apparently most US-made cars are now close to the Japanese in quality, and ahead of most European stuff.

One reason Daimler dumped Chrysler was that the build quality in Chrysler factories wasn't up to their own standards actually. And US cars can't even begin to approach European economy levels.

What an idiot you are, Dumb Donkey. Daimler is going down teh shit-hole too.

Most likely car brand to have "infant failures" in Arizona is Mercedes.

They've been leading the pack in quality issues for years. German engineering isn't what it used to be.

Volkswagen (Audi, Seat) is pretty good. The problem with Mercedes was that they tried to cut costs (and thereby cut quality) and introduced new technology too early. That has cost Mercedes a lot of business. A few years ago nearly all taxis over here were Mercedes, now you see a lot of other brands because of the reliability issues Mercedes had.

Failure does not prove something is impossible, failure simply indicates you are not using the right tools...

"If it doesn't fit, use a bigger hammer!"

.