

## Re: eer

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.misc/2004-09/0719.html>

---

**From:** FEerguy9 ([feerguy9\\_at\\_cs.com](mailto:feerguy9_at_cs.com))

**Date:** 09/29/04

Date: 29 Sep 2004 03:29:58 GMT

>"FEerguy9" <[feerguy9@cs.com](mailto:feerguy9@cs.com)> wrote in message  
>[news:20040928145714.05580.00000918@mb-m27.news.cs.com...](mailto:news:20040928145714.05580.00000918@mb-m27.news.cs.com...)  
>> >I ask you – could one even hope for better evidence of the  
>> >absurdity of this whole idea than THAT?  
>>  
>> The absurdity is in hanging on to an archiac form of energy.  
>  
>Assuming that you meant an "archiac" form of energy  
>STORAGE, you have yet to show that you have  
>anything better – while on the other hand it has been  
>repeatedly shown that you not only do not, but CANNOT.

\*i\* CANNOT – BUT,

[http://www.epri.com/attachments/274286\\_TGIFUltracapsbrief.pdf](http://www.epri.com/attachments/274286_TGIFUltracapsbrief.pdf)

[http://www.epri.com/OrderableitemDesc.asp?product\\_id=1000810](http://www.epri.com/OrderableitemDesc.asp?product_id=1000810)

<http://www.okamura-lab.com/ultracapacitor/index.htm>

<http://www.maxwell.com/ultracapacitors/>

<http://www.isecorp.com/ultracapacitor.htm>

<http://www.faradnet.com/company/ultracap.htm>

fRANK