

# Re: Report this spam to: groups-abuse@google.com

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.misc/2006-04/msg00123.html>

---

- *From:* Simon Scott <[simon\\_nospam@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:simon_nospam@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Wed, 19 Apr 2006 18:41:41 +0800
- 

Michael A. Terrell wrote:

Simon Scott wrote:

Michael A. Terrell wrote:

<snip irrelevant stuff>

Thanks, but Im aware of my own whois info.  
What did this prove?

Nicely sidestepped by the way. Seemed like a desperate act of  
intimidation, but it failed hopelessly.

Intimidation? Are you really that damn paranoid? It was simply to  
show that I knew how to read and interpret a message header.

Im fully aware of usenet headers and the whois database, thanks all the  
same. Perhaps I was thrown by its complete irrelevance to the subject at  
hand.

No, you are the one twisting things. I stated that they had to have  
a Google account but you've ignored it several times and repeated your  
BS.

I dont think youre capable of understanding. I dont \*care\* that the guy  
posted from google. It is completely fucking irrelevant.

Re: Report this spam to: groups-abuse@google.com

lets recap (and I paraphrase):

me: fuck off cunt

you: report the OP to google

me: what will that achieve?

you: they will close the account, dumb ass.

Again, it doesnt matter if they close the account. It is a case of closing the barn door after the horse has bolted.

Lets put it another way:

- 1) google groups accounts are easy to get, in any number
- 2) pulling a google groups account will result in it quickly being replaced by another
- 3) The damage done by spam is limited to the bandwidth and screen realestate waste by downloading the header (since noone actually clicks on the crap anyway)
- 4) Closing the account doesnt reduce (3), nor does it reduce the amount of spam
- 5) Cancelling the spam doesnt reduce (3), as by the time they get around to it everyone has downloaded the header anyway, and a fair number of usenet servers dont honour cancellations anyway.

Capiche? You are completely pissing in the wind if you think reporting anything to google does anything except waste more of your time.

Like I said, its been nearly 20 years (from memory), and it hasnt gotten any better over that time. Ive been using usenet since about 1992. Trust me, it really hasnt improved.

It won't, with your defeatist attitude.

Not defeatist – realistic. Since I actually understand the architecture of usenet, I know that it is impossible to stamp out, or even measurably reduce, the amount of spam on usenet.

You still have your troubles with the English language, 190 IQ boy.

Re: Report this spam to: groups-abuse@google.com

Wow, a typo. Good call. How are those straws you are grasping by the way?

BS is the perfect description of you. :) I may live in "Redneck Country" these days, but I am not a redneck. BTW, in florida the term

<snip! zzzzzzzzzz>

My qualifications? Broadcast engineer in radio & television, engineering in CATV system design, built headends, and I worked at L3-Com/Microdyne as an engineering tech in RF, Digital, Embedded controllers, and any other dirty engineering job that came up because I asked for the hardest jobs.

OK, so you are completely unqualified in anything relevant to the topic at hand then.

<snip irrelevant stuff>

BTW all that your IQ number proves is that you can take tests. I

OK, whatever.

<snip more irrelevant stuff>

I had my fill of BS of computer engineering types while at Microdyne.

Youd think you mightve picked up some basic networking theory while there.

Tell, me computer know it all: What is the data bandwidth available on the uplink to the ISS, and how does the data get there? What else is the equipment used for?

Who cares? Relevance?

Do you ever write in machine language? Not assembler, but true machine code? I used to for the 6502 and 6510 processors. I wrote a

Heh. As it happens one of my hobbies is 6502 assembler (I like the way you say 6502 AND 6510, when the 6510 is little more than a 6502 with ports hardwired into locations \$00 and \$01, and is simply the minor 6502 derivative used in the commodore 64). Machine code? Sure, if you like, although why bother when ca65 etc are available? If you like I could convert the hexadecimal opcodes into binary in my head and enter it in on a set of switches?

6502 (and 6510! :)), 6800, z80, 68000, 8086..... Which would you like? Im particular adept at 6510 (!) and the c64. In fact my left arm is resting on a complete C128 setup on the desk next to me, and the machine Im on (a linux box) has vice and ca65 installed on it, and one of my servers has subversion running with my 6502 source.....

And again, how is this relevant to the topic?

disassembler for the DOS on early personal computers to modify the code, along with a lot of other software. I've been writing code for 23

23 years? oh man, makes my 22 seem paltry (consider than Im 32 years old).

years. Now, I'm a disabled old man who has had to scale back my activities but I'm not dead yet, so I find things that I can do to stay busy. I have about 20 computers waiting to see if they are worth repairing. They will be given to other disabled Veterans if they are. Its not as much fun as seeing why a \$8,000 circuit board won't boot or program, or hand soldering 288 pin ICs under a stereo microscope, but it does help keep me active while helping others.

Oh, youre a sad old man with nothing better to do. Why am I bothering to argue with you? What you lack in 'clue' you make up for in empty days and infinite time to ramble. You win.

Next time dont call someone a dumbass unless its your own president.

.