

Re: Repairman's knot

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.repair/2007-03/msg01442.html>

- *From:* "N Cook" <diverse8@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 28 Mar 2007 10:15:38 +0100
-

Arfa Daily <arfa.daily@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:UGpOh.18606\\$2F5.5400@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:UGpOh.18606$2F5.5400@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

"Homer J Simpson" <nobody@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:SgjOh.22140\\$ 3.3034@xxxxxxxx](news:SgjOh.22140$ 3.3034@xxxxxxxx)

"N Cook" <diverse8@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:euandh\\$ui\\$1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:euandhui1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

For tying up mains leads on equipment, tight to the back of
the

chassis –

is
the knotting process described anywhere on the www ?

Search for the UL knot.

If I'm reading him correctly Homey, that's not the knot (!) that he's
looking for. I sent him some pictures of the one he wants, but apparently,
no BB, so would take him about a week to d/l
9 meg of photos... I'll perhaps put the pics up on a website somewhere so
that he can see them, poor boy ... d;~}

Arfa

What is the point of deliberately squandering bandwidth, oh I know, its
because you have broadband, whether filling "email" pipes or "www" pipes.
Assuming it was 9 x1Meg jpegs then 20% area reduction and 30% jpeg purity

Re: Repairman's knot

would be far more than adequate for this graphics level purpose, so down to about 30K each.

If anyone should want a high resolution version then that comes later, by personal arrangement.

Why send, unsolicited/unconfirmed, to some un-notified email account fully open to the full blast of spam, courtesy of usenet.

--

Diverse Devices, Southampton, England
electronic hints and repair briefs , schematics/manuals list on
<http://home.graffiti.net/diverse:graffiti.net/>

.