

Re: Why Only DSL, Not Dial Up?

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.repair/2007-07/msg00026.html>

- *From:* "HapticZ" <hapticz@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 1 Jul 2007 10:36:56 -0400
-

dont tell me NO hams are using any band for moving email and like across them!

comercially, yes it is prohibited, but linking private communications not originating form direct net service?

its not all dots/dashes anymore. subcued modulations have been used to xmit more then just casual blab!

"Don Bowey" <dbowey@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:C2AC3DD3.6CC7E%dbowey@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx

On 6/30/07 3:47 PM, in article
r4Bhi.27572\$YL5.2778@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, "HapticZ"
<hapticz@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

then u are stuck! however u may be able to find a Ham radio fellow who
could

get u started with a packet link across the various ham radio bands.
there are lots of options and concessions to be made.

Putting commercial traffic through ham radio is a federal offence. Don't
try it. The ham will lose his license

cell links can be prohibitively expensive for broadband use, such as
video

serving.

however, u may be the first to offer your neighbors the "opportunity" to
enrich thier worldly influence to startup a local ISP server. figure
\$10,000 for equipment and a satelite link and u may start selling net

Re: Why Only DSL, Not Dial Up?

service to the entire region. ;-))

"Harry" <paminifarm3@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1183061260.664125.249570@xx

On 28 Jun, 14:52, "HapticZ" <hapt...@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

u can do it with dialup, but the frame rate
will be low, say 2 to 4

frames

per second. the amount of data to transfer
must be compressed greatly

to

move across a dialup connection so the
video is crappy

anyway, even slow band DSL at 112k is as
cheap as crummy 28.8 dialup

now

a

days!

them thars' some fierce competition going
on with those internet

providers

my friend! shop around!!

"Harry" <paminifa...@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote in message

news:1183053685.412516.65920@xx

Hello,

Re: Why Only DSL, Not Dial Up?

Many years ago, before
"High-Speed Internet",
when
"Internet" was still called
Information Highway, when
i
had a "Web TV" for going
onto the Internet, there was
something "live" on the TV
(that was what we used for
our
"monitor") we could view
of "live" scenes. The one
scene
i remember was of a
swimming pool area. We
had no
cable; no DSL; only the
Web TV box and electricity.
The
thing putting the scene on
the Internet was probably a
"Camcorder", but i donot
remember what "they"
called it.

Now iam installing video
surveillance from a
commercial Co.
and theyare telling me, in
order to view the scenes on
Internet, i need DSL. The
pictures on my tv, of my
outside
surroundings, are in little
boxes called "CAM1;
CAM2;
CAM3 and CAM4, so i
guess this is a "cam" system.
How
come i cannot get the
pictures on the Internet with
dial up,
only with DSL, even
though, many years ago,
before DSL,
iwas able to get someone's
swimming pool
surveillance?

Re: Why Only DSL, Not Dial Up?

Or does someone know of a way to do this without DSL. My IP gave me a static IP address. What is that, and how do you use it?

Truly– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

Hello,

Where i live (in Amish territory) there is nothing; not even cell phone. I had to put in my own electricity etc. even . . . and thank G^d for Dish, which works sometimes, but very little local.

Truly