

Re: Michael Terrell posts may be forged

## Re: Michael Terrell posts may be forged

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.electronics.repair/2008-02/msg01469.html>

---

- *From:* b <[reverend\\_rogers@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:reverend_rogers@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 26 Feb 2008 14:14:15 -0800 (PST)
- 

On Feb 26, 6:46 pm, "James Sweet" <[jamesw...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:jamesw...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

"Michae A. Terrell" <[mike.temr...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:mike.temr...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message [news:fq0v10\\$ve6\\$1@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:fq0v10$ve6$1@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)

"James Sweet" <[jamesw...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:jamesw...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message [news:JnCwj.7711\\$\\$w6.2121@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:JnCwj.7711$$w6.2121@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)

"msg" <[msg@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:msg@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message [news:13s4uia844u9fa2@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:13s4uia844u9fa2@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Check the headers of the posts from "Michael A. Terrell" in the last few hours; they originate from different user agent (IE vs. Moz), providers (aioe.org vs supernews) and networks than is usual for Michael. They may be forged.

Ya think?

I'd say the content was a dead giveaway.

You mean my posts have no value at all to the readers?

Re: Michael Terrell posts may be forged

I mean that you don't normally reply to a bunch of stuff with "You have no brain"

Perhaps I should have said lack of content.

last time I replied to a post made by the ubiquitous Mr. Terrell, I was called a 'stooge' (amongst other things) !

He can be a cantankerous character.

-B

.