

Re: Meyer Circuit Experiment

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.energy.hydrogen/2004-08/0423.html>

From: Dan Bloomquist (EXTRApublish20_at_lakeweb.com)

Date: 08/14/04

Date: Sat, 14 Aug 2004 16:44:14 GMT

Average_Joe wrote:

> In article <411D850B.D6B58792@tinaja.com>, Don Lancaster wrote:

>

>> *There is no comparison whatsoever.*

>> *No means of producing hydrogen is known that is even remotely cost*

>> *effective compared to reformation of methane. See*

>> <http://www.tinaja.com/glib/energfun.pdf>

>

>

> *True, I'll 100% agree. Producing hydrogen at this time is not very*

> *effecient. I've seen generators using methane refactoring and I'm not*

> *opposed to it, simply because it'll get us over the bridge.*

>

> *Thing is, if you had a bunch of cars running on methane, if there*

> *is a shortage of the stuff, then what? We're back where we started.*

>

> *This is where I draw the comparison to postscript, if the methane*

> *is refactored to hydrogen and some how used to power automobiles we*

> *would be independant of methane as the source of fuel. Once another form*

> *of energy is found, it could potentially be used without changing all*

> *the cars, gas stations, etc..*

It doesn't do a lot of good to put the cart before the horse. 'Once another form of energy is found', isn't realistic.

BTW, if you use methane directly as a fuel with SOFCs you get some four times the mileage as you would with the hydrogen vector. And, at a much reduced cost.

>

> *Jamie*

Best, Dan.

--

<http://lakeweb.net>

<http://ReserveAnalyst.com>

No EXTRA stuff for email.

Re: Meyer Circuit Experiment