

Re: knocking wind

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.energy.hydrogen/2004-08/1078.html>

From: Dan Bloomquist (*EXTRApublish21_at_lakeweb.com*)

Date: 08/31/04

Date: Tue, 31 Aug 2004 01:09:39 GMT

Dan Bloomquist wrote:

>
>
> *Al Smith wrote:*
>
>> *Dan!!*
>>
>> *Posting a link to a document is NOT "doing the math."*
>
>
> *There were well over a dozen pages of cost analysis concerning wind.*
> *What kind of 'math' are you looking for? This is a rather in depth study*
> *and should supersede any assumptions I would make.*
>
>>
>> *At least give the page on this 60 page document where*
>> *you believe the math is done if you think it is.*
>
>
> *Low cost case:*
> *Onshore \$.90/watt 35% utilization*
> *Offshore \$1.20/watt 39% utilization*
>
> *High cost case:*
> *Onshore \$1.15/watt 25% utilization*
> *Offshore \$.90/watt 35% utilization*
 ^^^

Correction here

 Offshore \$1.70/watt 35% utilization

>
> *Best, Dan.*
>

--

<http://lakeweb.net>

<http://ReserveAnalyst.com>

No EXTRA stuff for email.