

## Re: Attention Bush fans ~ Bush says global warming is real

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.energy.hydrogen/2004-09/0090.html>

---

**From:** Reuben Hick ([reubenhick\\_at\\_hotmail.com](mailto:reubenhick_at_hotmail.com))

**Date:** 09/01/04

Date: Wed, 01 Sep 2004 13:52:58 GMT

"Fred B. McGalliard" <[frederick.b.mcgalliard@boeing.com](mailto:frederick.b.mcgalliard@boeing.com)> wrote in message news:I3BvJH.Ft@news.boeing.com...

>

> "Reuben Hick" <[reubenhick@hotmail.com](mailto:reubenhick@hotmail.com)> wrote in message

> news:224Zc.7622\$eE.1274@newssvr23.news.prodigy.com...

> > "ouroboros rex" <[c-bee1@itg.uiuc.edu](mailto:c-bee1@itg.uiuc.edu)> wrote in message

> > news:ch2hgd\$34j\$1@news.ks.uiuc.edu...

> >

> > > 3 trillion in deficits, now that's real conservatism! roflmmfao

> >

> > Great, then you agree with me that we need to cut off the gravy train to

> > welfare deadbeats

>

> And my first deadbeat would be

>

> Wait a second. Welfare? We just gave back a scadillion to some fairly well

> off folks...

Repeat after me: "It isn't the Government's Money."

Honest people normally "earn" money. Taxes are what is confiscated from them. When one party takes money from the earnings of another, we normally call that "theft". When it is done under duress we call it "robbery". When the party that does the taking of another's earnings under the threat of violence and incarceration is called "government" how is it that you call it "give back" when they don't steal as much as they did the year before? If an armed robber only stole half the contents of your wallet rather than then entire contents of your wallet, would you consider yourself evil and greedy because he "gave back" half your money?

Now if you truly believe that it is the government's money, then you show yourself to be a marxist who believes that all people are wards of the State. Where no man owns himself, yet owes his entire existance to the State. Traditionally we have called that person a "slave". Are you an advocate of global slavery? When a man owns himself, then the fruit of

his labors are his, not the government's. Thus if the fruit of his labor are his, then when the government decides not to seize as much as they did the previous year, it simply means that the man got to keep more of that which was his in the first place. There is no "giving back" when it wasn't even the government's in the first place.

> *...because we didn't need the money*

Spoken like a true thief. The Robber sees nothing wrong with taking from another person as long as the Robber perceives a personal "need" for someone else's property.

> *and now you are telling me we*

> *did?*

Let me get this straight. You consider it wrong for a wise and prudent person who works hard and makes sound financial decisions to keep a certain percentage of what they have rightfully and lawfully earned. You consider it noble for others who have squandered their lives and their abilities such where they now lay claim on the Producer's assets. And it is the epitome of morality and virtue for this Taker, to be so lazy and cowardly that they are not willing to take the money from the Producer themselves, that they will appoint surrogate professional thieves to do the stealing for them and give them pennies on the dollar of that which was stolen.

> *And don't I recall that most of that money goes to the deadbeats that were forced to put their life savings into Social Security...*

At least you recognize that the government uses "force" in order to make people participate in immoral and corrupt Panzi schemes that are really poorly formed masks for marxist income redistribution.

But here is where your logic continues to break down. Social Security is not a "tax", it is a compulsory "insurance premium". Your beloved socialist president FDR concocted that scheme so that future generations of socialists will have something to simultaneously bribe and scare recipients with. It is interesting to note that government employees in Galveston, TX took advantage of the opt-out program such where they were removed from the SSI program and were able to manage their own retirement accounts. Many of the retirees are making more off their non-SSI retirement packages than they were earning when they were on the city payroll.

That could have been our future, but you socialists preferred to beat down the Producers for the benefit of the Takers.

> *so it could be*

> *borrowed by the government years and years ago to do stuff our congressmen*

> *agreed needed to be done just ever so much back then, so they can now hand*

> *it out however they wish, and complain that we don't have enough money?*

Your sentences are getting to be as convoluted as mine. But in trying to parse that last statement I feel your pain, but your wounds are self-inflicted. Instead of bitching about how the government isn't falling lock-step with every thought, wish or demand that runs through your head, you should join the rest of us who are in support of the work of people like Newt Gingrich and the current US president and move the country away from a government "managed" retirement "system" and into individually managed retirement accounts ala The City of Galveston.

> *This is a pyramid scheme I think, and the head schemer is trying to get you to buy in.*

Exactly. And that is why you should support George 'W' Bush and the GOP effort to ween the US off this "pyramid scheme" as you have so accurately described it. Forget the Libertine Party, they will never have the numbers to get this to work, and the Democat party is the original authors of the Social Security Scheme, so it is in their best interest to keep it as bankrupt as possible. Threats of bankruptcy allow them to continue to pillage the Producers for the benefit of the Takers.

> *The biggest gravy train you have in front of you is not your poor deadbeat,*

Statistically speaking, the "poor deadbeat" is on the "biggest gravy train". The Social Security Panzi Scheme is something that we all pay into with the expectation of someday receiving a payout. The welfare system is just raw wealth transfer from Producers to Takers. The Takers, by definition, deadbeats who refuse to take care of themselves and expect the world to tighten their belt so that they can expand theirs.

Interestingly, the Social Security laws do not require the administrators (read: your government) to actually make do on the so-called benefits. At any time, Congress can stop the whole process and there is no legal obligation for them to pass on one penny to those who may have forfeited an entire lifetime of "premiums" into this insurance scam.

If you want to bash 'W' on mishandling the Social Security System then use some facts. The present administration is desiring to expand SSI to benefit illegal aliens from Mexico. In the US, a citizen is required to work 40 quarters before being eligible for receiving benefits. An illegal alien from Mexico, under the current proposal, may use fraudulent documents as evidence of working only ten quarters before being eligible to receive lifetime benefits that can just as easily be received in their own country. Thus, an illegal alien can forge up some documents that basically state that he has been working in the US for two and one half years, and then can march up to the SSI office, just prior to deportation, and provide them with this "evidence" and his hometown address in Mexico, and expect to receive an SSI check every month for the rest of his life – courtesy you and the Producers here in the US.

sci.energy.hydrogen: Re: Attention Bush fans ~ Bush says global warming is real

- > *it's your scadillion little companies obtaining parts of the*
- > *government grants your congressman brings back to show he is working for*  
you
- > *so you will reelect him.*

Its also those scadillion little companies that employ the vast majority of Americans, provide goods and services to Americans, and raise the standard of living for the entire world.

If they get to keep a little bit more of their profits, then I am all for it. Why do you begrudge people who actually earn their food, clothing and housing, yet wring your hands over ne're do wells who pay back our "investment" in them with lowered standards, crime and filth?