

Re: cheap clean electricity (again) machines

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.energy.hydrogen/2005-03/0175.html>

From: Don Kelly (*dhky_at_peeshaw.ca*)

Date: 03/21/05

Date: Mon, 21 Mar 2005 02:24:18 GMT

"Ed Earl Ross" <edearl@satx.rr.com> wrote in message
news:mIR_d.4706\$ot.1989@tornado.texas.rr.com...

> *Don Kelly wrote:*

>>

>> *My dictionary says "1) an apparatus using or applying mechanical power,
>> having several parts, each with a definite function, which together
perform*

>> *certain kinds of work. 2)a particular kind of machine, e.g. a vehicle,
piece*

>> *of electrical or electronic apparatus,etc. 3)...."*

>> *This is a bit broader than your strictly mechanical definition.*

>> *However, in general, such things as transformers are not called
machines*

>> *while motors with a mechanical component are. – as you say– called
machines.*

>> *A solenoid can also be called a machine although there may be no lever,*

>> *wheel or inclined plane involved. It will do mechanical work.*

>

> *All the dictionaries I've looked at contain many definitions for
> machine.*

>

>> *All I intended was that "machine" has become somewhat looser than it was
200*

>> *years ago.*

>

> *Agree.*

>

> *Words with so many definitions must be interpreted in context. As
> the topic was ppm (a mechanical device), interpretations such as a
> computer being a machine are out of context.*

>

> *Raheman's ppm is a hydraulic device. There are analogies in
> hydraulics to (some) solid mechanical machines. For example, a
> hydraulic jack is similar to a lever. Lubricating oil serves a
> similar purpose as a wheel, it reduces friction and makes movement
> of a load easier. I am unable to think of a hydraulic analogy to an
> inclined plane.*

sci.energy.hydrogen: Re: cheap clean electricity (again) machines

- >
- > *In any case, neither a fluid nor a solid mechanical machine can*
- > *create energy or power, regardless of complexity. A ppm is impossible.*
- >
- > *Thanks for your help. Your critical review forced me to enhance my*
- > *concept of machines and improve my critique of ppm, including*
- > *Raheman's ppm.*
- > --
- > *Humbly--Ed*
- >
- > *Mark Twain*
- > *"There is something fascinating about science.*
- > *One gets such wholesale returns of conjecture*
- > *out of such a trifling investment of fact."*

Fair enough – both of us gained.

--
Don Kelly
dhky@peeshaw.ca
remove the urine to answer