

Re: Question re. Global Warming

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.energy.hydrogen/2006-04/msg00131.html>

- *From:* Don Lancaster <don@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 28 Apr 2006 13:29:34 -0700
-

hhc314@xxxxxxxxxx wrote:

Sorry Pat, it's not there, but thanks for trying.

I need the actual data points at a fixed location in the central US for at least 50 and preferably 80 years in order to have any level of statistical trend significance. I suspect that the National Bureau of Standards may this data for Denver, but so far I haven't been able to obtain it. The Naval Observatory may be another source, but their location so close to the coast tends to invalidate their data for my analytical purposes. Near coast readings tend to vary with variations in ocean currents, and that's the problem there. Minnesota would be ideal, if the data was recorded over the length of time required, and at the same exact location. I'm trying to be as objective as possible, which is difficult to do on this subject, largely because physical science imposes far more rigidity on experimental data than do the softer sciences.

I'm beginning to believe that a major, long standing astronomical observatory might be the best bet for this kind of data, because they have it, but it's difficult to obtain, plus the majority of these facilities are located on mountain peaks close to the coasts, so again for global warming analysis, the ocean currents tend to diminish the usefulness of their records because of localized temperature effects.

Thanks, Harry C.

At least in the west, the forest service USDA is really really big on weather records.

—

Many thanks,

Don Lancaster voice phone: (928)428-4073
Synergetics 3860 West First Street Box 809 Thatcher, AZ 85552
rss: <http://www.tinaja.com/whtnu.xml> email: don@xxxxxxxxxx

Please visit my GURU's LAIR web site at <http://www.tinaja.com>

Re: Question re. Global Warming