

A real answer

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.energy.hydrogen/2006-08/msg00695.html>

- *From:* "AKA Gray Asphalt 2" <goodidea1950_SPM_@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 26 Aug 2006 22:34:13 -0700
-

I wonder if any semi-scientific or maybe profoundly scientific discussion can have any real affect on what the real problem is, imo, the energy policy of the United States.

There was a guy on "Book TV" the other day, who has a real problem with Foreign Aid. He claims that the government and non-government organizations are playing at foreign aid but not really doing it. His answer boils down to accountability and feedback. He says we aren't getting feedback from the people who are supposed to be being helped and he says no one is directly accountable for single problems that everyone agrees need to be addressed. Sort of like ... "We have these ongoing problems. We're all working on them for several decades." with the message that they are good guys in a good organization. But no one can say why the problems are still there and there is no one to take responsibility for not having answers ...

How can anyone talk about the details of wind vs. methanol or whatever is discussion du jour, without asking how the US can have an intelligent discussion on the highest levels that presents all sides of the issue to inform the public about what needs to be done and what it's going to cost in dollars, sacrifice, and a change in our habits, if that is what's necessary?

And can't someone put the ideas here in a form that can be understood by non-scientists? Most of us just don't have the interest or time to become scientists. Are your communication skills adequate to make your ideas understandable, yes, I'm absolutely sure they are, if you decide to do so. Do you seem to understand each other? It doesn't seem so.

I guess this isn't really a scientific thing. And the idea that anybody can get the government to talk honestly, in the cause of the benefit of the people, is absurd when you've got the Oil Companies on one side and Arthur Daniels Midland on the other. And who knows what else? What a retard I am to think all of those ads on PBS and sponsorships by ADM weren't motivated by self-interest, and that the so-called public broadcasting couldn't be bought. That's what it looks like anyway.