

Re: Low Cost Hydrogen is here to stay

Re: Low Cost Hydrogen is here to stay

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.energy.hydrogen/2007-12/msg00057.html>

- *From:* Willie.Mookie@xxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* Thu, 6 Dec 2007 23:10:26 -0800 (PST)
-

On Dec 7, 1:55 pm, Eeyore <rabbitsfriendsandrelati...@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

Willie.Moo...@xxxxxxxx wrote:

On Dec 6, 8:26 pm, Eeyore <rabbitsfriendsandrelati...@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

Willie.Moo...@xxxxxxxx wrote:

IDIOT.

Low cost hydrogen isn't here, never mind 'to stay'.

I am writing contracts today with folks to buy hydrogen.

Writing contracts is NOT the same as *making* hydrogen.

Please see <http://www.usoal.com>

The cost is \$800

Cost per WHAT ?

metric ton

Re: Low Cost Hydrogen is here to stay

– which is lower cost than humanity now pays for carbon fuels on a heat value basis.

Without a 'per what', the figure is entirely meaningless.

Graham

Obviously, per metric ton. You've read what I've written so you're being an idiot yourself. Clearly, I said in my original post of December 6, which you responded to on December 6 that 3.34 billion metric tons of hydrogen each year would displace all of humanity's carbon needs and that at \$800 per metric ton it would cost less than the \$4 trillion humanity is now spending on carbon each year.

.