

## Re: H2 burner

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.energy.hydrogen/2008-06/msg00036.html>

---

- *From:* Williamknowsbest <[William.Mook@xxxxxxxx](mailto:William.Mook@xxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 10 Jun 2008 14:43:17 -0700 (PDT)
- 

On Jun 10, 2:38 am, DB <a...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

Williamknowsbest wrote:

On Jun 7, 1:32 am, DB <a...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

Williamknowsbest wrote:

On Jun 6, 10:20 pm, Eeyore  
<[rabbitsfriendsandrelati...@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:rabbitsfriendsandrelati...@xxxxxxxxxxxx)>  
wrote:

Williamknowsbest wrote:

Harry  
While we  
agree on the  
importance  
of marginal  
value we  
disagree on  
the  
marginal  
value of  
hydrogen  
and its  
aafety.  
Hydrogen  
is perfectly  
safe  
as a fuel if  
handled  
properly.  
Hydrogen  
using a  
sufficiently  
low  
cost solar  
power

Re: H2 burner

system may  
be made  
available at  
costs  
competitive  
with  
existing  
fuels.  
A ton of  
hydrogen  
has the  
same heat  
value of 6.2  
tons of coal.

And the volume of these at  
STP is ?  
Graham

That is a singularly dumbass question.

<snip lecture>

Interesting that you snip all the relevant data...

No, your dumb ass rant.

A recounting of our fossil fuel usage and an calculation of how much hydrogen is needed to replace it, along with a plan to transition using lesser amounts of hydrogen is not a 'rant'. Its a discussion of our energy options. On the other hand, statements that you make, such as, "we're screwed" could properly be classified as a rant since it is your feeling not backed up by any sort of logic, discussion, or reference.

Show the photos of your billion dollar plant

In Indonesia there are two facilities underway and they're costing around \$7 billion each.

Anyone who visits my web site and fills out the contact information may request information including such photos.

<http://www.usoal.com>

Re: H2 burner

Re: H2 burner

or  
shove it.–

Fuck you too sweetheart.

.