

sci.energy: Re: useful analogy

Re: useful analogy

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.energy/2004-09/0411.html>

From: Fred B. McGalliard (frederick.b.mcgalliard_at_boeing.com)

Date: 09/07/04

Date: Tue, 7 Sep 2004 17:05:22 GMT

"Jim Richardson" <warlock@eskimo.com> wrote in message
news:ue2112-t8v.ln1@grendel.myth...

...

> *No, there are some pretty good firm judgements you can make. We (the
> earth) doesn't receive enough solar radiation to the surface, to replace
> the world use of petroleum, simple as that.*

However, if we were to make some quite small changes, would it replace all
the world's needs (not wants) for fossil fuels (coal, oil, and natural gas).