

# Re: Why has no perpetual motion machine been invented?

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.energy/2004-09/1144.html>

---

**From:** Don Kelly (*dhky\_at\_peeshaw.ca*)

**Date:** 09/28/04

Date: Tue, 28 Sep 2004 03:23:31 GMT

<stark\_fist\_of\_removal@the-fastest.net> wrote in message  
news:1096340102.641712.114230@h37g2000oda.googlegroups.com...  
> *why after so many years of so many people looking has no one come out*  
> *with a perpetual motion machine? with all the other achievements of*  
> *mankind! is there some sort of god or gods or entity out to stop it?*  
> *how else could we possibly not accomplish it?*

—  
Conservation of energy has been tested repeatedly to the nth time and hasn't failed yet. Basically in terms of energy input = output + losses so output is always less than input. (efficiency less than 100% as losses always do exist).

In other words, there is no free lunch!

Perpetual motion requires output in excess of input –hence the alternate name "overunity" –better than 100% efficiency. It ain't going to happen.

--

Don Kelly  
dhky@peeshaw.ca  
remove the urine to answer