

Re: But There Ain't No Global Warming.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.energy/2005-01/0599.html>

From: Robert Sturgeon (rsturge_at_inreach.com)

Date: 01/21/05

Date: Fri, 21 Jan 2005 08:29:16 -0800

On Fri, 21 Jan 2005 06:43:07 -0500, Michael Davis
<mdavis19@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

(snips)

>Models are nice. The Earth is a lot more complex than any model
>though. I remember the models that predicted the coming
>"population bomb" disaster back in the 70s, the coming ice age
>disaster back in the 80s and the coming Y2K disaster back in the
>90s. I'd like to see some actual hard evidence of some CO2 driven
>global warming before buying into this end of the world scenario
>du jour. Is that really too much to ask?

Apparently so. Global Warming is now the Official Doctrine of the "scientific" community, and woe to anyone who is skeptical. You'll notice that, throughout history, the less real evidence any given theory has to support it, the more extreme its supporters are, whether the theory is religious, economic, political, scientific, whatever; which reminds me – did Paul Erlich ever admit he was full of crap, that he LOST his famous bet with Julian Simon?

People like being scared. Fear sells. And gets grants.

--

Robert Sturgeon

Summum ius summa inuria.

<http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/>