

Re: But There Ain't No Global Warming.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.energy/2005-01/0600.html>

From: G. R. L. Cowan (gcowan_at_eagle.ca)

Date: 01/21/05

Date: Fri, 21 Jan 2005 11:41:30 -0500

Robert Sturgeon wrote:

>
> *On Fri, 21 Jan 2005 06:43:07 -0500, Michael Davis*
> *<mdavis19@ix.netcom.com> wrote:*
>
> *(snips)*
>
> *>Models are nice. The Earth is a lot more complex than any model*
> *>though. I remember the models that predicted the coming*
> *>"population bomb" disaster back in the 70s, the coming ice age*
> *>disaster back in the 80s and the coming Y2K disaster back in the*
> *>90s. I'd like to see some actual hard evidence of some CO2 driven*
> *>global warming before buying into this end of the world scenario*
> *>du jour. Is that really too much to ask?*
>
> *Apparently so. Global Warming is now the Official Doctrine*
> *of the "scientific" community, and woe to anyone who is*
> *skeptical.*

You were asked to provide examples of skeptics to whom woe came, but failed.

> *You'll notice that, throughout history, the less*
> *real evidence any given theory has to support it, the more*
> *extreme its supporters are, whether the theory is religious,*
> *economic, political, scientific, whatever; which reminds me*
> *– did Paul Erlich ever admit he was full of crap, that he*
> *LOST his famous bet with Julian Simon?*

Now you're trying to change the subject.

>
> *People like being scared. Fear sells. And gets grants.*

But which fear gets more grants?

The fear of global warming, or tax eaters' fear of a reduction in the size of the fossil industry, and thus a reduction of their take?

sci.energy: Re: But There Ain't No Global Warming.

— Graham Cowan, former hydrogen fan

http://www.eagle.ca/~gcowan/Paper_for_11th_CHC.html —

How individual mobility gains nuclear cachet