

Re: Are nukes the answer to global warming?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.energy/2005-02/0414.html>

From: Dan Bloomquist (*EXTRApublish21_at_lakeweb.com*)

Date: 02/11/05

Date: Fri, 11 Feb 2005 01:13:30 GMT

bill wrote:

>

> *This is better, actual numbers, an attempt at logic, keep at it*

> *grasshopper.*

As I recall, you were the one waving your arms about. Volcanos and lightning bolts.

> *It seems I have mis-spoken again, for which I appologise, we are*

> *in fact speaking of giga-watt micro-wave beams (micro-wave lasers, I*

> *didn't specify the wavelength in my previous post) at least, in order*

> *to be useful.*

Do crunch the numbers. It comes out to a couple of hundred watts/meter² for a practical GWatt implementation.

> *btw, the 1.5 kw/m² figure is for orbital insolation, not ground*

> *level, ground level noon-time is less than 1/3 of that.*

Poppy cock. It is more like 1.35 kw/meter². Where I live, noon sun in the summer is over 900 w/meter². If you run my numbers... Wait, I'll do it for you:

1 Megawatt / (35 * 35) = 816 w/meter². So you see, I had actually rounded to your benefit, not mine.

> *and no, I do*

> *not consider my 5 watt cell phone to be a hazardous radioactive object.*

Good thing. It is hardly radioactive.

Best, Dan.

--

<http://lakeweb.net>

<http://ReserveAnalyst.com>

No EXTRA stuff for email.

What can you see if you can't see it all...