

Re: Solar, not nuclear

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.energy/2007-01/msg00280.html>

- *From:* "bill" <ford_prefect42@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 25 Jan 2007 06:52:40 -0800
-

On Jan 25, 1:24 am, "VistaKing" <BushIsATrai...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

VistaKing wrote:

Global energy consumption = U.S. per capita levels.
All energy coming from Nuclear.
Global population 15 billion.
Current Reactor count 400

"Eeyore" <rabbitsfriendsandrelati...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message

You must be crazy to think the world needs US energy consumption

levels. You're

the most wasteful energy users on the planet ! I'm not an AmeriKKKan. I agree with you by the way. But that agreement

is predicated on increasing consumptive efficiency in the rest of the world so that they aren't as wasteful as the Dung Eating population of the U.S.

But an increase in consumptive efficiency is all that is needed to avoid the need for producing those nuclear power plants in the first place.

The Nuclear argument goes, "We can't reduce our consumption, hence we must meet our current energy requirements with Nuclear."

The argument is Hogwash of course. But if true, 200,000 reactors will be required world wide.

15000 to meet TWICE the current demand for both electric and oil consumption. your 200k number is bullshit.