

Re: Solar, not nuclear

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.energy/2007-01/msg00312.html>

- *From:* dave.walters@xxxxxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* 28 Jan 2007 15:34:57 -0800
-

But an increase in consumptive efficiency is all that is needed to avoid the need for producing those nuclear power plants in the first place.

The Nuclear argument goes, "We can't reduce our consumption, hence we must meet our current energy requirements with Nuclear."

The argument is Hogwash of course. But if true, 200,000 reactors will be required world wide.

Do you make these numbers up out of thin air? Perhaps you'd like us to go back to plowing land with mules and a iron-plow? At **most** we're talking about 4,000 new reactors (that is, 10 times the number we have today at between 1000 and 1500 MWs) worldwide. No one has any plans to reduce consumptions and lower our standard of living because the Chinese and Indians are headlong to RAISE the standard of living of their people. The more nukes the Chinese build, the more old coal-fired plants they can shutdown. Without an alternative to coal, you can kiss any 'savings' good-bye.

David Walters

.