

## Re: Electrify the buses!

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.energy/2007--02/msg00215.html>

---

- *From:* "Brad Guth" <[bradguth@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:bradguth@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sun, 11 Feb 2007 21:40:21 +0000 (UTC)
- 

"Doc O'Leary" <[droleary.usenet@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:droleary.usenet@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message [news:droleary.usenet-3252A3.07384111022007@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:droleary.usenet-3252A3.07384111022007@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Thank you for confirming the impression I have gotten from your posts in other threads: you're a loony. Simple hand waving is *\*not\** engineering, and any mention of storage needs to address retrieval. It is particularly amusing that you explicitly mention no less than *\*two\** electricity-based storage systems when attempting to take a stance against electric busses.

What the puck is "simple hand waving" about storing/taking energy to/from flywheels, or that of utilizing h2o2/aluminum batteries, or for that matter via simply and rather squeaky clean combustion in a duel fuel injected engine that'll knock your socks off at hardly any SOx or CO2, and absolute zilch worth of NOx?

Good Christ almighty on yet another stick; what the freaking puck is wrong with you big-energy borgs of brown-nosed butt sucking minions?

Please explain; What the mindset PUCK is supposedly within my topic constructive yaysay mindset that's "attempting to take a stance against electric busses"?

I'm 100% pro EB, as in being all for those nifty electric busses (just like the ones my dad drove for decades, that is as long as coal, oil or natural gas isn't providing any of the overhead energy), whereas you're the ones with each of your private parts stuffed up each and every public ass, while your brown noses are busy wiping those butts of corporate and government big-energy. You're also the ones that don't give an honest tinkers damn about energy conversion/transmitting cost, nor about the vast infrastructure investments and subsequent cost of installing and sustaining those overhead power lines, that by the way, are extremely spendy, at best still look like shit, especially nasty looking at each intersection, and they tend to have unavoidably killed off far too many folks and countless birds that obviously your mindset hasn't a speck of remorse as for caring about.

Re: Electrify the buses!

BTW; h<sub>2</sub>o<sub>2</sub> isn't "exotic".

Yes, it is. That you don't realize it is telling. You need to stop treating everything like a nail just because you've found a favorite hammer.

That spooky or hocus-pocus h<sub>2</sub>o<sub>2</sub> that you're all so deathly afraid of is simply plain old h<sub>2</sub>o (AKA water), along with having one extra molecule of O added to each inert molecule of h<sub>2</sub>o for good measure. Once h<sub>2</sub>o—>h<sub>2</sub>o<sub>2</sub> is accomplished via clean renewable energy, it's sufficiently safe and sane to store and to utilize over a wider thermal temperature than h<sub>2</sub>o, and damn near anything else can be efficiently combusted along with h<sub>2</sub>o<sub>2</sub> for accomplishing the utmost energy bang per kg as well as per the almighty dollar/euro.

My god, you stupid ignorant folks are absolutely pathetic, not to mention that you are all dumbfounded past the point of no return, as well as deathly afraid of your own faith based shadows. There's hundreds of legal items and substances that you and I have access to as offering more lethal sorts of potential consequences than h<sub>2</sub>o<sub>2</sub>, but you're all so snookered and/or dumbfounded by big-energy to know the difference between your ass and your brown noses that are obviously preoccupied as for doing what they do best (butt sucking up to the mainstream status quo).

—

Brad Guth

—

Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server – <http://www.Mailgate.ORG>

.