

Re: Appeal from an Inventor

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.energy/2007-11/msg00013.html>

- *From:* "zzbunker@xxxxxxxxxxxxx" <zzbunker@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 04 Nov 2007 10:40:40 -0800
-

On Nov 3, 9:31 am, Pranab <pranabjyoti_calcutta...@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:

My name is Pranab Jyoti Ghosh, I am an Indian physics graduate and myself have theoretically developed a technology on producing energy without any kind of fuel. This technology is about producing energy by extracting heat from atmosphere. This technology is a combination of processes that are well tested and now in use in different industries and research projects. I have done everything that I can do by myself. But for further advance, I need financial and technical assistance. I specifically want to make a virtual prototype by using data from different experiments that I have collected from different industries and research projects and after that get a patent and start licensing this technology. Those who are reading this message, other than the venture capitalists, are requested to guide me to persons, organizations, institutes and others, who can probably take interest in my work and will help me to make my idea a reality. I am assuring them that this project is just need to be made and improved. All the basic experiments had been already done successfully and enough data had been gathered. In short, it is a new and exciting kind of technology and as far as I know, nothing like this exists this day. Can anybody help me to make my idea a reality?

No. Since like physics itself, the idiot laws of thermodynamics are also crackpot gibberish, with respect to reality. So any and all laws concerning heat, are automatically respooled to The thermo--irad--crackpots at The GE department of moron, for their moron perusal, and pre--approval.

I have posted this idea on some blogsites and got responses like this. "Violating 2nd law of thermodynamics", "impossible", "the vapor produced will be instantly liquefied as soon as it will be released into normal pressure etc and other arguments like this. But, when I have replied them with my logic and put before them the experimental results, there will be no solid reply thereafter. Actually all the

Re: Appeal from an Inventor

oppositions come from, in my opinion, from wrong interpretation of 2nd law of thermodynamics. In this explanation, heat embedded in atmosphere and ocean around us are part of entropy and trying to extracting the heat is trying to decrease the entropy of the universe and as per 2nd law, entropy always increases. But, this is not true, because machines that can extract atmospheric heat and capable of converting that into electricity had been invented long ago, before the birth of Christ. Long ago (nearly 500 B.C), Chinese people invented a toy that extracts heat energy from the atmosphere and turns that into motion. Though I called it a toy, because it was never used for production purpose. But according to the terminologies of physics, it is an engine, because it converts one type of energy (heat) into other type of energy (motion). In fact, it is a thermodynamic engine. You will find the description of that toy on books on popular science. So, at least there is one example that atmospheric energy can be extracted and turn into motion energy. I think it is enough to prove the basic scientific feasibility of my work. In spite of that, if somebody still complaining that my project violates second law of thermodynamics. Then I am requesting him to calculate the entropy of my project. None have tried that yet, because I am sure that if they do so, they certainly will find that at the end of the process, entropy of the universe has increased, instead of decreased as they want he result to prove that this technology violates 2nd law of thermodynamics.

One can personally contact me at pranabjyoti_calcu...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx or pranabjyoti_calcutta...@xxxxxxxxxxxx