

Re: ROGER COPPOCK explain how this confirms AGW

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.energy/2008-02/msg00017.html>

- *From:* Sevenhundred Elves <sevenhundred@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 05 Feb 2008 05:03:19 +0100
-

BradGuth wrote:

On Feb 4, 10:45 am, Sevenhundred Elves <sevenhund...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

chemist wrote:

On Feb 4, 12:20 am, Sevenhundred Elves <sevenhund...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

chemist wrote:

Plotting a 12 month running average of monthly CO2 against a 12 month running average of monthly satellite SH temperatures, I get a correlation factor of less than 0.2 which improves if you lag the CO2. That is demonstrating that CO2 is influenced by the temperature not the reverse. Plotting the the annual change in CO2 in as a 12 month running average against that of the monthly satellite SH temperatures, I get a correlation of 0.552 if the CO2 is lagged.

Re: ROGER COPPOCK explain how this confirms AGW

Thank you. Do you happen to have a more detailed report on the web somewhere?

S.

I will post when I have completed my work
So far I have demonstrated that the experiments that purport to show that CO₂ is a greenhouse gas are misleading and that CH₄ behaves as though it is not a greenhouse gas in such experiments.

This promises to be interesting, I dare say.

S.

Used toilet paper is sufficient for your mindset to consider as interesting, I dare say.
.. – Brad Guth

Your opinion is noted, studied, and found to be exactly as interesting as the paper you so fondly speak of. As for mindsets, you should have yours examined.

S.

.