



Re: Google/NOVA selective Usenet topic banishment

Are those insider  
pretend-atheists (aka  
rabbis) of Usenet getting a  
little upset?  
. – Brad Guth

Brad, realize that every poster once in a while receives the message:  
"An error was encountered while trying to post, please try again later" at least once a while.

It isn't a selective thing, and simply indicates that there was a transmission error encountered, that the Usenet server that you are posting through was simply too busy to at that instant to process your post, or was momentarily down. Sometimes when posting a very long post, your connection to the server simply times-out (Google, for one example).

Yes it most certainly was highly selective, because other pro-government and pro-NASA replies to existing topics and of new postings were getting through and sticking just fine and dandy, and of that "please try again later" message wasn't just once in a while, but an ongoing naysay Bot like imposed gauntlet for hours upon hours on end, sustained throughout the entire day as long as I was trying to reply to any of those existing topics, including those of mine.

Next time this happens to you, after receiving this message, simply hit "send" once again after waiting for 30-seconds. You'll find something wonderful will happen.

Re: Google/NOVA selective Usenet topic banishment

Been there, done that at least a dozen times per reply.  
Google/NOVA  
simply didn't want to receive any more of my warm and  
fuzzy rants. Go  
figure and imagine that, if you will.

BTW, as of lately it's taking hardly any posting from my  
account in  
order to receive their other form of selective topic/author  
banishment, "Your account or Internet address has exceeded  
our posting  
limit at this time, please try again later."

I observe that there is no shortage  
of your posts on sci.energy.hydrogen so if  
you you are being censored  
by some mysterious "Bot", it's not doing a  
very complete job! :-)

You silly spooks and moles obviously don't observe squat,  
which shows  
us how either MI5/CIA cloak and dagger and/or summarily  
snookered and  
dumbfounded past the point of no return that such wussy  
brown-nosed  
folks like yourself actually are.

Lighten up! The only reason that many  
people post on this fractured  
newsgroup is for recreation, plus in some  
rare altruistic cases to  
help others in grasping a basic concept.

Harry C.

Grasp this basic concept, along with grasping your private  
parts; It

Re: Google/NOVA selective Usenet topic banishment

seems the general public and of it's media that are not already pro-Jewish or Semitic controlled are being summarily orchestrated and/or traumatized into the nearest toilet, and that mainstream status quo toilet is already overflowing with the infowar crapolla worth of disinformation so that whatever else of actual truth goes into their cesspool simply isn't noticed. It's exactly what Hitler counted on, and for the most part got from his Semitic Third Reich minions that achieved such collateral damage, carnage of the mostly innocent (including many of their own kind), and almost got away with global domination.  
. – Brad Guth

Have you thought about a stand-alone news service? I use GigaNews (about \$ 100 per year) and I've never ever had a problem posting or not being able to connect.

Good point, although always seeing exactly whatever the public and media gets to see is more than half the fun, whereas with a private news reader you're entirely isolated and/or as much on your own as you'd like to make it, as well as in need of an extra terabyte hard-drive and a high speed internet access to boot.

I stumbled onto usenet in the early nineties I think (before Google Groups, you know), and in those days a 1200 baud modem and a pretty lousy PC running DOS was quite sufficient to access all the newsgroups I wanted. There were only about 3000 NGs then. I used to telnet to a remote unix box from my PC and get a kind of "soup" package of posts back. Those were the days! Anyway, terabytes of storage and high bandwidth are required only if you are a very ambitious usenet provider to the public.

Usenet clowns do like keeping the public and media as far off the tracks of anything potentially sharing of too much truth, as much so as they can muster.

You don't really know what usenet is, do you? No need to be embarrassed

Re: Google/NOVA selective Usenet topic banishment

Re: Google/NOVA selective Usenet topic banishment

about that. Lots of people even think it's part of Google. In order to educate yourself, you should Google it, but for starters you can simply peruse these FAQs, which attempt to answer the question "What is usenet?":

<http://www.faqs.org/faqs/usenet/what-is/part1/>

and

<http://www.faqs.org/faqs/usenet/what-is/part2/>

The two parts are quite different in tone and content. The second part is a critique of the first, to be exact.

Allow me to quote something interesting they slapped onto the end of the first FAQ:

|WORDS TO LIVE BY #1:

|USENET AS SOCIETY

|-----

|

| Those who have never tried electronic communication may not be aware  
| of what a "social skill" really is. One social skill that must be  
| learned, is that other people have points of view that are not only  
| different, but \*threatening\*, to your own. In turn, your opinions may  
| be threatening to others. There is nothing wrong with this. Your  
| beliefs need not be hidden behind a facade, as happens with  
| face-to-face conversation. Not everybody in the world is a bosom  
| buddy, but you can still have a meaningful conversation with them.  
| The person who cannot do this lacks in social skills.

|

| -- Nick Szabo

c u

S.

.