

Re: ROGER COPPOCK explain how this confirms AGW

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.energy/2008-02/msg00019.html>

- *From:* Roger Thorpe <myinitial.mysrname@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 05 Feb 2008 10:19:27 +0000
-

chemist wrote:

Plotting a 12 month running average of monthly CO2 against a 12 month running average of monthly satellite SH temperatures, I get a correlation factor of less than 0.2 which improves if you lag the CO2. That is demonstrating that CO2 is influenced by the temperature not the reverse.

Plotting the the annual change in CO2 in as a 12 month running average against that of the monthly satellite SH temperatures, I get a correlation of 0.552 if the CO2 is lagged.

Given that all the heat transfer sums indicate that CO2 should contribute to GW I think that the burden of proof is on you to prove why this no so.

Roger Thorpe

.