

Re: Google/NOVA selective Usenet topic banishment

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.energy/2008-02/msg00021.html>

- *From:* BradGuth <bradguth@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 5 Feb 2008 04:27:06 -0800 (PST)
-

I believe that mother Google/NOVA knows all there is to know, and is fully in charge of why I can't edit or much less remove any of my own stuff. Go Figure otherwise.

Are you suggesting that it's those pesky Muslims in charge?

Are you suggesting that Usenet is purely AI robo controlled? (no humans are in charge)

.. - Brad Guth

On Feb 4, 8:57 pm, Sevenhundred Elves <sevenhund...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

BradGuth wrote:

On Feb 4, 1:24 pm, "Hagar" <ha...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

"BradGuth" <bradg...@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message

news:cfd29ae7-5f31-4d2a-a374-3f05494faff6@xx

On Feb 3, 1:57 pm, hhc...@xxxxxxxx wrote:

On Feb 2, 12:40 pm,
BradGuth
<bradg...@xxxxxxxx>
wrote:

Oddly but
fully

Re: Google/NOVA selective Usenet topic banishment

expected,
I'm now
getting the
selective
Usenet
banishment
treatment of
"An error
was
encountered
while trying
to
post, please
try again
later".

Why are
only
selective
topics being
robo
moderated
to death, as
though
made
taboo/off-limits
to receiving
my warm
and fuzzy
words of
wisdom?

Are those
insider
pretend-atheists
(aka rabbis)
of Usenet
getting a
little upset?
. – Brad
Guth

Brad, realize that every
poster once in a while
receives the message:

Re: Google/NOVA selective Usenet topic banishment

"An error was encountered
while trying to
post, please try again later"
at least once a a while.

It isn't a selective thing, and
simply indicates that there
was a
transmission error
encountered, that the Usenet
server that you are
posting through was simply
to buisy to at that instant to
process your
post, or was mementaritly
down. Sometimes when
posting a very long
post, your connection to the
server simply times-out
(Google, for one
example).

Yes it most certainly was highly selective,
because other pro-
government and pro-NASA replies to
existing topics and of new postings
were getting through and sticking just fine
and dandy, and of that
"please try again later" message wasn't just
once in a while, but an
ongoing naysay Bot like imposed gauntlet
for hours upon hours on end,
sustained throughout the entire day as long
as I was trying to reply
to any of those existing topics, including
those of mine.

Next time this happens to
you, after receiving this
message, simply
hit "send" once again after
waiting for 30-seconds.
You'll find
something wonderful will
happen.

Re: Google/NOVA selective Usenet topic banishment

Been there, done that at least a dozen times per reply. Google/NOVA simply didn't want to receive any more of my warm and fuzzy rants. Go figure and imagine that, if you will.

BTW, as of lately it's taking hardly any posting from my account in order to receive their other form of selective topic/author banishment, "Your account or Internet address has exceeded our posting limit at this time, please try again later."

I observe that there is no shortage of your posts on sci.energy.hydrogen so if you you are being censored by some mysterious "Bot", it's not doing a very complete job! :-)

You silly spooks and moles obviously don't observe squat, which shows us how either MI5/CIA cloak and dagger and/or summarily snookered and dumbfounded past the point of no return that such wussy brown-nosed folks like yourself actually are.

Lighten up! The only reason that many people post on this fractured newsgroup is for recreation, plus in some rare altruistic cases to help others in grasping a basic concept.

Re: Google/NOVA selective Usenet topic banishment

Harry C.

Grasp this basic concept, along with grasping your private parts; It seems the general public and of it's media that are not already pro-Jewish or Semitic controlled are being summarily orchestrated and/or traumatized into the nearest toilet, and that mainstream status quo toilet is already overflowing with the infowar crapolla worth of disinformation so that whatever else of actual truth goes into their cesspool simply isn't noticed. It's exactly what Hitler counted on, and for the most part got from his Semitic Third Reich minions that achieved such collateral damage, carnage of the mostly innocent (including many of their own kind), and almost got away with global domination.
. – Brad Guth

Have you thought about a stand-alone news service? I use GigaNews (about \$100 per year) and I've never ever had a problem posting or not being able to connect.

Good point, although always seeing exactly whatever the public and media gets to see is more than half the fun, whereas with a private news reader you're entirely isolated and/or as much on your own as you'd like to make it, as well as in need of an extra terabyte hard-drive and a high speed internet access to boot.

I stumbled onto usenet in the early nineties I think (before Google Groups, you know), and in those days a 1200 baud modem and a pretty lousy PC running DOS was quite sufficient to access all the newsgroups I wanted. There were only about 3000 NGs then. I used to telnet to a remote unix box from my PC and get a kind of "soup" package of posts back. Those were the days! Anyway, terabytes of storage and high bandwidth are required only if you are a very ambitious usenet provider

to the public.

Usenet clowns do like keeping the public and media as far off the tracks of anything potentially sharing of too much truth, as much so as they can muster.

You don't really know what usenet is, do you? No need to be embarrassed about that. Lots of people even think it's part of Google. In order to educate yourself, you should Google it, but for starters you can simply peruse these FAQs, which attempt to answer the question "What is usenet?":

<http://www.faqs.org/faqs/usenet/what-is/part1/>

and

<http://www.faqs.org/faqs/usenet/what-is/part2/>

The two parts are quite different in tone and content. The second part is a critique of the first, to be exact.

Allow me to quote something interesting they slapped onto the end of the first FAQ:

|WORDS TO LIVE BY #1:

|USENET AS SOCIETY

|-----

|

| Those who have never tried electronic communication may not be aware
| of what a "social skill" really is. One social skill that must be
| learned, is that other people have points of view that are not only
| different, but *threatening*, to your own. In turn, your opinions may
| be threatening to others. There is nothing wrong with this. Your
| beliefs need not be hidden behind a facade, as happens with
| face-to-face conversation. Not everybody in the world is a bosom
| buddy, but you can still have a meaningful conversation with them.
| The person who cannot do this lacks in social skills.

|

|— Nick Szabo

c u

S.