

Re: Traces of the Flood In the fossil record

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.geology/2004-07/0417.html>

From: Raymond Griffith (*tiffirgREVERSE_at_ctc.net*)

Date: 07/11/04

Date: Sun, 11 Jul 2004 17:28:02 -0400

jwk wrote:

> "news" <acorso@moon.odn.ne.jp> wrote in message news:<cack80\$2ids\$1@nwall1.odn.ne.jp>...

>

>>>If every molecule of H2O were squeezed out of the atmosphere, it would

>>>not be sufficient to cover all the mountains with 15 cubits of water, as

>>>the Bible states in Genesis 7:20. Even if the ice caps melted, there is

>>>no way enough rain could fall to flood the entire earth. None.

>>>

>>>Since you advocate for a flood, tell me: Where did the water come from,

>>>and where did it go?

>>

>>if I come across to brash I apologize

>>first off you are assuming that the earth has always had the same mountains

>>valleys and plateaus that we see today...this is the first mistake secondly

>>you are not considering that there is a whole lot of water out there in the

>>oceans rivers lakes streams brooks springs ponds pools air ice ect.

>>est....in the bible the first mention of mountains and valleys is post flood

>>because if you do a little research you will find out that if you flatten

>>out all of the mountains and raise up the valleys the earth is pretty much

>>flat and in this case the earth would be flooded as high as mount

>>Everest...first read the bible before you go blabbing about how it cant be

>>done then talk to some people who know what they are talking about (experts

>>on the subject(even if you dont agree with them)) and then after you have

>>studied all of the material take a long meditation (or prayer) and see what

>>gets stirred up...here are some sources to check out

>

>

> So the whole earth was flat was it? Except Mt Ararat of course.

> Right? Just that one mountain and no others? Rightttttttt.

>

> By the way try this: empty your sink of water out onto the countertop

> (use a bucket). How much of that water stays on the countertop for

> forty days?

>

> jwk

The issue of whether or not there were mountains and valleys in the pre-Flood world is easily taken care of by the text itself. Genesis 2

sci.geo.geology: Re: Traces of the Flood In the fossil record

speaks of a river flowing through Eden, and splitting into 4 headstreams — major rivers in the area the author would have been familiar with or have heard of. It also speaks of gold and gems in the land.

You cannot have rivers without valleys. And for one river to gender four others means that the land is fairly high — mountainous, in fact. Gold and gems are almost always found in mountainous regions.

In point of fact, the post-Flood author really doesn't seem to recognize that there was any geographical difference between his world and the pre-Flood world. He also has his geography wrong, but he was speaking of places he'd never been (Ethiopia is in Africa, a different continental region).

So it is safe to say from the text itself that there were mountains before the Noahic Flood. The implication is that Eden was in a mountain valley. You certainly cannot infer from the text that there were not any.

Regards,

Raymond E. Griffith