

Re: PLATE MAPS OF THE PAST

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.geology/2004-07/0685.html>

From: Carsten Troelsgaard (carsten.troelsgaard_at_mail.dk)

Date: 07/20/04

Date: Tue, 20 Jul 2004 23:53:17 +0200

"George" <george@george.net> skrev i en meddelelse
news:_0gLc.2388\$QO.2374@bignews5.bellsouth.net...
>
> "Carsten Troelsgaard" <carsten.troelsgaard@mail.dk> wrote in message
> news:40fce57b\$0\$282\$edfadb0f@dread11.news.tele.dk...
>>
>> "don findlay" <don@tower.net.au> skrev i en meddelelse
>> news:5f164087.0407191750.7c4fe54e@posting.google.com...
>>> "George" <george@george.net> wrote in message
>> news:<[UkRKC.302\\$%S4.241@bignews1.bellsouth.net](mailto:UkRKC.302$%S4.241@bignews1.bellsouth.net)>...
>>
>> snip
>>
>>> <<http://users.indigo.net.au/don/ee/ridgegrowth.html#s0s>>]
>>
>> snip
>>
>>> Check out the Abusal Hills, and the scarps, and the
>>> growth faults, and then tell us all what the dykes are feeding...
>>> Lava flows?
>>
>> I've checked out your link.
>> I don't see any issues with PT, unless you try to make the appearance of
>> magnetic contours a diachronous affair. Your figure 2 seems to let the
>> surface of the atlantic fit into the opening gap and thus gets it's
magnetic
>> signature by stretching the thing. And you wonder why George think that
you
>> are wearing down your credibillity! Who wouldn't? Turning people mute by
>> sheer quantity of information seems to be your strategy – that it
generally
>> lack reason does not disturbe you the least, on the contrary: another 15
>> pages will do the trick.
>>
>
> As someone else said recently in this newsgroup, "Generating a 5 dollar
opinion

sci.geo.geology: Re: PLATE MAPS OF THE PAST

- > *supported by a nickel's worth of data is*
- > *stupid in ANY context. It's called "wild speculation", and it has NO*
place in
- > *what is supposed to be a scientific discussion." What say you, Carlsten?*

It could make a big difference. Making a new model as Don does is admirable, but it sooner or later has to face geological reality.

Popping a suggestion as above could be ok, but either I get it wrong or it just is wrong. I can't figure Don out though – it seems to be a very extensive joke, if that is what it is.