

Re: Icecap compression questions

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.geology/2004-08/0050.html>

From: Oriel36 (geraldkelleher_at_hotmail.com)

Date: 08/04/04

Date: 4 Aug 2004 09:39:28 -0700

"Bill Smith" <billsmith@ispwest.com> wrote in message news:<ceoh0r0pv3@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> *Is this all in defense of Astrology?*
>

I must say that a google search of your posting history is a painful experience and the only indication of your interest in geology is trickle down material such as your requests for comments on articles and more notably your opposition to considering astronomical/terrestrial motion in the development of physical surface features such as the following response.

"Well, Michael, perhaps you can answer a simple question. If your planet forces are causing earthquakes, perhaps you can explain why the planet forces can move megatons of earth and cause spectacular volcanic eruptions and amazing earthquakes miles under the surface of the earth, but won't affect fluffy white clouds, or radically shift the water in lakes and oceans, or exhibit any other large regular measurable physical effect?

Bill

<http://groups.google.ie/groups?q=author:billsmith%40ispwest.com+fluffy+clouds&hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&selm=b0e>

Let me answer you question. It has been known for centuries the the Earth's shape (variation in Equatorial/polar radii) is conditioned by its astronomical motion so it is no great leap in imagination to consider astronomical motions affecting the development of physical features.

I suggest you take up stamp collecting or gardening for your reasoning is childish at best or geocentrist at worst, no offense intended.

I will make it simple for you. It is a really bad idea to model crustal

development from the point of view of a stationary Earth.

> "Oriel36" <geraldkelleher@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:273f8e06.0408020515.510595b0@posting.google.com...
>
>> *For the moment, the mystery is humanity rather than undiscovered
>> nature. The fear of making a mistake, the vanity that conceals rather
>> than reveals anything and the stony silence behind it all. I do not
>> single out any human being but in watching the reaction of a man who
>> on being told that the trade centers were being attacked did not know
>> how to react and waited for guidance that did not come. I don't
>> honestly know if anyone would fare better when a new situation
>> develops, the anonymity of consensus which leaves things cozy now works
>> against the individual even when the outlines of great advantages take
>> shape.*
>>
>> *Geology is most enjoyable and I have a horror of replacing one
>> ideological tyranny with another and this is where I take my stand. I
>> have enough respect for those who came before and did their best with
>> the material they had and think them in no way inferior. Those who are
>> and were inferior perverted genuine insights into a particular avenue
>> in what has to be the biggest scam ever perpetrated at the expense of
>> humanity and one each and every one of us pays for in our daily
>> existence. Never has the vitality of human investigation into celestial
>> phenomena burned so low as when our generation passes through even as
>> the biological associations and to a certain extent geological
>> investigations provided us with a clearer association between our life
>> and the greater one that encompasses our existence.*
>>
>> *Geology and astronomy is the clashing ground, somehow people involved
>> with both already know it.*
>
> :-)
>
> **Bill**