

Re: Good science and evidence? Lot of crap!

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.geology/2004-08/0599.html>

From: vonroach (hadrainc_at_earthlink.net)

Date: 08/24/04

Date: Tue, 24 Aug 2004 14:56:25 GMT

On Mon, 23 Aug 2004 19:57:25 -0400, "Jonathan" <j0nathan@home.net> wrote:

>
> "Ed Conrad" <edconrad@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:ov2hi09le57nivdu4dopqbt43f0n9mmah@4ax.com...
>> >
>> >
>> On 2 May 2001 18:12:35 -0400, "Gregg" <cybertigger1@msn.com> wrote
>> to talk.origins. My reply is better late than never.
>> >
>> > evolution is backed up by plenty of good science and evidence,
>> >
>> > Hardly the case!
>
>
> Hello Ed, my hobby is the mathematics of evolution. I can assure
> you evolution is a tangible and pervasive property of the
> universe. In fact, all organization in the universe, material
> or living, owes it's existence to the tendencies of evolutionary
> forces.

And you clearly distinguish between matter and life?

>
> The 'integral' of evolutionary mathematics is found in random
> boolean networks. A nice intro is here.
>
> An Introduction to Complex Systems
> Torsten Reil, Department of Zoology, University of Oxford
> <http://users.ox.ac.uk/~quee0818/complexity/complexity.html>
>
>
> The basic idea is that given enough complexity and interaction
> any system will self-organize. It does so spontaneously, and
> this tendency to organize and adapt is now shown mathematically
> to be the final probable state of any complex dynamic system
> living or otherwise.

sci.geo.geology: Re: Good science and evidence? Lot of crap!

Poor old Darwin didn't have any integral evolutionary mathematics of random boolean networks, so he innocently called it natural variation and natural selection of the fittest. It didn't appear amazing to him that the fittest survived, any other outcome would have been surprising.

>
>*The utter simplicity of evolution, and the universe, is easy to see. The second law provides increasing complexity and interaction by breaking things down into smaller units. The increasing variability and interaction that results are the driving forces for self-organization and evolution. This tentative 'fourth law' of thermodynamics provides symmetry with the second.*

When you have a chance post a note on the utter simplicity of evolution and the universe. Some very good minds have wrestled with those questions for centuries.

The `second law' (thermodynamics?) actually just says the most likely outcome from a probability point of view is more likely.

Reverting to `evolution', any increasing variability and interaction is due to a growing number of organisms in a population. And they don't `self-organize, they survive or die. The `fourth law' of thermodynamics is one you better consider a little more logically.

>*A nice review of the major topics of the mathematics of evolution is here.*

>
>*Self-Organizing Systems (SOS) FAQ*
><http://www.calresco.org/sos/sosfaq.htm>

And don't neglect Darwin, Malthus, et al.

>
>*An online book being taught at the graduate level at MIT is here. It explains this new science quite thoroughly. It's a science so new most in this ng haven't even heard of it, let alone understand it.*

MIT is putting out this stuff – seriously?

>*DYNAMICS OF COMPLEX SYSTEMS*
><http://www.necsi.org/publications/dcs/>
>
>
>*Once you've read through this stuff and understand it, you'll be able to call classical science a crock, and be able to prove it. You have no idea just how 'Dark Age' much of the scientific world is even today.*

I predict once you really understand it you will call it a crock.
Incidentally work on chaos has been underway on west coast for several

Re: Good science and evidence? Lot of crap!

decades.

- >If you wish to truly see and denounce the stunning
- >level of ignorance in classical science
- >this new science is for you.
- >
- >It also shows you a number of neat tricks, like
- >how to play the stock market for instance. Makes
- >such things child's play once one understands the
- >nuts and bolts of system dynamics.

Chuckle.. Is this the selling point. Just another con.

>And you'll know their truth.

>

Very unlikely

>Jonathan

>

>

>s

>

>

>

>

>

>> An insult to science is really what it is.

>> Accompanied by the fact that it has NO physical evidence --.

>> Zilch! -- to prove man has any evolutionary link whatsoever

>> to some (any) prehistoric animal.

>> >

>> Don't you ever wonder, Gregg, how the decent, honest

>> conscientious scientists in other fields must feel about

>> this mockery of scientific integrity that has long been perpetrated

>> by this cast of vested-interest boo-birds.

>> >

>> Every time they face true facts and are confronted with physical

>> evidence, they boo -- then tell one of their 1,000,000 bagfuls of lies

>> >

>> =====

>> <

>> > =====

>> >

>> > MAN AS OLD AS COAL

>> > Petrified Bones, Teeth and Soft Organs

>> > (Some Human) Found Between Coal Veins

>> ><

>> > =====

>> >>

>> >> OLDEST HUMAN SKULL EVER FOUND <

>> >> <http://www.edconrad.com/images/z11calv.jpg>

>> >> <http://www.edconrad.com/images/krogwskull.jpg>

>> >>

sci.geo.geology: Re: Good science and evidence? Lot of crap!

>> >Wilton Krogman, one of the world's foremost experts
>> >on human anatomy, holds what he had identified as
>> >a petrified human calvarium, a skull with the eye
>> >sockets broken off, that was discovered between
>> >Pennsylvania's anthracite veins. He is shown at his
>> >desk at the Cooper Clinic in Lancaster, Pa., where
>> >moments later he beckoned a colleague -- a medical
>> >doctor -- to examine "the oldest human skull ever
>> >found."
>> ><
>> >A CATscan was performed on this specimen with
>> >favorable results.
>> ><
>> >> <http://www.edconrad.com/images/catcalv.jpg>
>> ><
>> >Meanwhile, Haversian canals were identified in the
>> >cell structure, the tell-tale sign of bone. And dried
>> >blood was found on the specimen during testing at
>> >American Medical Laboratories in Chantilly, Va.
>> ><
>> >This is the official report from AML which had
>> >performed Calculus Analysis by Crystallography.
>> >The final report, dated April 21, 2000, was issued
>> >by Dr. Nathan Sherman, director of laboratories.
>> >
>> >> "The specimen consists of 1 irregularly
>> >> shaped, brown calculus weighing less
>> >> than 0.0010 grams and measuring 1X1X0.5
>> >> mm. No nidus is observed. The calculi
>> >> indicates a composition of dried blood
>> >> intermingled with a few small crystals
>> >> resembling calcium oxalate dihydrate."
>> >>
>> >> <http://www.edconrad.com/images/z12calv.jpg>
>> >>
>> >> <http://www.edconrad.com/images/z13cav.jpg>
>> >> =====
>> >>
>> >> OTHER PETRIFIED BONES, TEETH AND
>> >> SOFT ORGANS FOUND BETWEEN COAL VEINS <
>> >>Here's a petrified human femur still embedded in slate.
>> >>> <http://www.edconrad.com/ebay/Petrified/z8femur.jpg>
>> >><
>> >>>Here's a petrified human finger, with fingernail:
>> >>> <http://www.edconrad.com/ebay/FINGER/MVC-008S.JPG>
>> >>> <http://www.edconrad.com/ebay/FINGER/MVC-011S.JPG>
>> >><
>> >>>Here's a petrified human toe (with toe nail), found only
>> >>>10-15 feet from the petrified finger.
>> >>> <http://www.edconrad.com/ebay/Au29/MVC-017S.JPG>
>> >><

sci.geo.geology: Re: Good science and evidence? Lot of crap!

>> >> *Here are the petrified human finger and the petrified
>> >> human toe shown together.*
>> >>> <http://www.edconrad.com/ebay/Au29/MVC-016S.JPG>
>> >><

>> >> *Here's the specimen that Krogman identified as a tibia
>> >> It contains Haversian canals, proof of bone, and dried
>> >> blood was found on it during testing at American Medical
>> >> Laboratories. This is the specimen that was fraudulently
>> >> tested by Andrew MacRae who produced microscopic photos
>> >> of the cell structure of a rock on the false pretext
>> >> that he was showing the cell structure of this specimen.*
>> >>>> <http://www.edconrad.com/ebay/Petrified/newtibia.jpg>
>> >><

>> >> *This is the boulder containing the complete human skull.
>> >> Testing has confirmed the presence of Haversian canals
>> >> and American Medical Laboratories discovered it contains
>> >> dried blood.*
>> >>>> <http://www.edconrad.com/ebay/Petrified/skullb.jpg>
>> >><

>> >> *Here's a petrified human mandible (frontal).*
>> >>>> <http://www.edconrad.com/ebay/Newpix5/MVC-002S.JPG>
>> >><

>> >> *Here's a portion of a human mandible (side).*
>> >>>> <http://www.edconrad.com/ebay/Bones/MVC-006S.JPG>
>> >><

>> >> *Here's a giant petrified tooth.*
>> >>>> <http://www.edconrad.com/ebay/Petrified/1tooth.jpg>
>> >><

>> >> *Here's a petrified dinosaur foot still embedded in slate.*
>> >>>> <http://www.edconrad.com/ebay/Newpix3/z3dino.jpg>
>> >><

>> >> *Here's a petrified fetus of some large animal.*
>> >>>> <http://www.edconrad.com/ebay/Petrified/MVC-013F.JPG>
>> >><

>> >> *Here's another petrified fetus, still embedded in slate.*
>> >>>> <http://www.edconrad.com/ebay/Day/MVC-005S.JPG>
>> >><

>> >> *Here are several views of portion of a giant prehistoric
>> >> scorpion identified as such by Krogman.*
>> >>>> <http://www.edconrad.com/ebay/Scorpion/MVC-001S.JPG>
>> >>>> <http://www.edconrad.com/ebay/Scorpion/MVC-010S.JPG>
>> >>>> <http://www.edconrad.com/ebay/Scorpion/MVC-020S.JPG>
>> >><

>> >> *Here's a photo of the same portion of a petrified giant
>> >> scorpion -- found 22 years ago -- alongside one found
>> >> weeks ago.*
>> >>>> <http://www.edconrad.com/ebay/SCORPIONS/MVC-039S.JPG>
>> >><

>> >> *Here are two views of a piece of wood that appears to have
>> >> been handcarved for use as a tool or a weapon.*
>> >>>> <http://www.edconrad.com/ebay/Tool/MVC-003S.JPG>

Re: Good science and evidence? Lot of crap!

sci.geo.geology: Re: Good science and evidence? Lot of crap!

>> >>>> <http://www.edconrad.com/ebay/Tool/MVC-005S.JPG>
>> > =====
>> >
>> *LATEST DISCOVERIES: NEW Petrified Human Finger, Thumb*
>> <
>> > <http://mysite.verizon.net/edconrad/O11/MVC-038S.JPG>
>> > <http://mysite.verizon.net/edconrad/O11/MVC-037S.JPG>
>> >
>>
>>
>>
>>
>