

Re: Dinosaurs on a smaller earth

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.geology/2004-12/0750.html>

From: Bigdakine (*bigdakine_at_aol.com*GetaGrip)

Date: 12/25/04

Date: 25 Dec 2004 22:31:29 GMT

>*Subject: Re: Dinosaurs on a smaller earth*

>*From: "Dennis" djmenck@aol.com*

>*Date: 12/25/04 9:54 AM Hawaiian Standard Time*

>*Message-id: <1104004477.845387.144580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>*

>

>>*I've looked at EE in quite a bit of detail, and have found nothing*

>*printed in*

>>*peer-reviewed journals.*

>

>*Well, my personal favorite, for obvious reasons is:*

>

><http://www.blackwellpublishing.com/abstract.asp?ref=3D0305-0270&vid=3D30&ii=>

>[d=3D10&aid=3D6&s=3D&site=3D1](http://www.blackwellpublishing.com/abstract.asp?ref=3D0305-0270&vid=3D30&ii=d=3D10&aid=3D6&s=3D&site=3D1)

That page doesn't work for me.

I

>

>*But there's also the following. All are related to EE. Nearly all of*

>*these support it:*

>

The usual hash of gibberish. At least it appears you have dropped the ether-sink models. One would've thought after your last episode you might drop those.

I also get a kick out of the Allan Cox references. You think Cox went to his grave supporting the Expanding Earth hypothesis? What have you have done is referenced alot of papers that had something to offer on the issue, and few of them if any, have any relevance today.

You know I suppose it could make an even more impressive list of papers supporting creationism if I go back far enough.

Is subduction a real phenomenon?

Very funny Dennis.

Re: Dinosaurs on a smaller earth

sci.geo.geology: Re: Dinosaurs on a smaller earth

Stuart

Dr. Stuart A. Weinstein

Ewa Beach Institute of Tectonics

"To err is human, but to really foul things up requires a creationist"

"Creationists aren't impervious to Logic: They're oblivious to it."