

## Re: Harvard Pres: Women Lack Ability In Math, Sciences

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.geology/2005-01/1646.html>

---

**From:** Jo Schaper (*joschapern4ospam\_at\_2socketdot.no5net*)

**Date:** 01/20/05

Date: Thu, 20 Jan 2005 03:02:11 -0600

Jayne Kulikauskas wrote:

>  
>>"George" <[george@wtfiswrongwithyou.com](mailto:george@wtfiswrongwithyou.com)> wrote in message  
<Bunch of stuff snipped>  
>> *But don't take my word for it. Here are the word of someone who knows  
> what the  
>  
>>hell she is talking about, because she both is a scientist and a teacher,  
>  
> and a  
>  
>>very well respected member of the scientific community. She has been  
>  
> monitoring  
>  
>>these posts in another newsgroup, and decided to put in her two cents  
>  
> worth:  
>  
>>"As a woman apparently lacking the genetic abilities under discussion, I  
>>would advise the men in this discussion to take the time to find out  
>>what Mr. Harvard President Sir actually said, (at least as reported in  
>>the news media):  
>>  
>>Please read:  
>><http://www.nytimes.com/2005/01/19/education/19harvard.html>  
>>No transcript of his exact words are available.  
>  
>  
> I thought this account seemed like an even-handed one:  
> <http://www.marinij.com/Stories/0,1413,234~24410~2659688,00.html>*

Your story also came from a NY Times reporter. This thread came into

sci.geo.geology: Re: Harvard Pres: Women Lack Ability In Math, Sciences

s.g.g. already in progress so I had to go find what people were talking about, since it had already escalated almost into chaos. The one I cited was also the most even-handed one I could find.

>

> *<begin quote>He discussed several factors that could help explain the*

> *underrepr*