

## Re: More Stuff on the Canary Islands Tsunami

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.geology/2005-02/0143.html>

---

**From:** Michael Mcneil ([weatherlawyer\\_at\\_hotmail.com](mailto:weatherlawyer_at_hotmail.com))

**Date:** 02/01/05

Date: Tue, 1 Feb 2005 07:14:13 +0000 (UTC)

"Daryl Krupa" <[icycalmca@yahoo.com](mailto:icycalmca@yahoo.com)> wrote in message  
news:1107236311.667456.77480@c13g2000cwb.googlegroups.com

>

> *Michael Mcneil wrote:*

> > "NetKop@us" <[ft.t@momscomputer](mailto:ft.t@momscomputer)> trolled in message

> > news:3mmqv0t5fiojqtrk006pdolua72e2t4h76@4ax.com (snipped. Stick to ascii art, fool.)

> > <http://www.underreported.com/modules.php?op=modload&name=News&file=article&sid=1124>

> > *Remind me again; if it's not tidal, why isn't the energy dispersed in*

> > *a series of splashes?*

> *This is, indeed, the major objection to their claim;*

> *their calculations of effect did not take sufficient account of*

> *energy dispersal over distance.*

Leaving aside the fact that no tidal wave can disperse accordingly without being tidal (and thereby proving the relationship to tsunamii with what I have been saying for years. Kindly snipped by you to preserve my modesty –which editing is accepted by me in all humility:)

It is obvious that the poor deluded fool needs help. He ought to be a lot less gullible considering his dilligent service on here after all these years.

The flagship BBC science programme, Horizon, ran with this canard some time ago. And their endorsement went a long way to provide credibility to the idea for such gorms as the ineffable Tilley to eff over.

The silly sod.

--

Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server - <http://www.Mailgate.ORG>