

Re: NASA Claims No Life On Mars and Embargos Mars Rover Data.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.geology/2005-02/1498.html>

From: dar7yl (no_reply_at_accepted.org)

Date: 02/20/05

Date: Sun, 20 Feb 2005 18:54:30 GMT

"Thomas Lee Elifritz" <cosmic@lifeform.org> wrote in message
news:4217B9D9.14C65BA5@lifeform.org...

> February 19, 2005

>

> dar7yl wrote:

>> So they are actually releasing spectroscopy then?

>> I guess you are annoyed that they aren't spoon-feeding
>> you.

>

> No, they aren't releasing it, we had to hack into that.

> Notice the clockcount, that data has not been released yet.

> It's not listed, and the folders are password protected.

So as well as being an idiot, you are also a thief.

>> >> Hasn't it occurred to you that these people
>> >> are very busy with the backlog of images
>> >> and spectroscopy, and don't have time to
>> >> continuously update the web, let alone respond
>> >> to your immature ravings?

>> >

>> > I just explained to you, the exploratorium and

>> > workbench are highly automated. Most of the

>> > hard work is done by the rover drivers, and

>> > one of the reasons they are not investigating

>> > apparent fossils is that they don't want to

>> > risk banging the rover, and they have already

>> > publicly admitted they have no intention of

>> > discovering life with this mission, as that will

>> > put all future NASA Mars missions at risk.

>>

>> I think their statement was that the MER objectives

>> are to find evidence of past water on Mars. The

>> rovers are not designed to discover life (for instance,

>> the microscope cannot resolve bacteria).

>
> *But it can resolve microbial mats, which it surely is.*

How would you know it's microbial mats? You are just wishing it were so.

> *But not evidence of life, as NASA has publicly stated.*

When? Where? Please quote this reference.

(And don't just give me a url – take the effort to copy or retype the actual quote, and footnote it as if you are doing real science)

>> >> *You should be happy that they are releasing
>> >> new information on a practically daily basis.
>> >
>> > They aren't, that's what I just explained to you.
>>
>> You blathered, slobbered and gibbered, but
>> there was no information in your rantings.
>
> No, I clearly demonstrated with evidence
> that the data is easily released by not
> password protecting the folders that
> contain it.*

If they wanted you to have the data, you would have access to it. Obviously, they also think you are an idiot.

>> >> *Yes, I have noticed a dwindling of activity,
>> >> which I attribute, not to conspiracy, but to
>> >> simple burn-out after over a year of
>> >> (most excellent IMHO) scientific study.
>> >
>> > Only because you are a confirmed idiot.
>> > I've already demonstrated that you are
>> > not actually looking at the data anyways.
>
> Meanwhile, NASA continues to deny there is
> any evidence for life on Mars, when our eyes
> and all of the evidence clearly indicates that
> there is, while doing everything it can to
> prevent further evidence from surfacing.*

Your eyes haven't strayed farther than two feet from your couch. There is no clear evidence of life on Mars (yet).

sci.geo.geology: Re: NASA Claims No Life On Mars and Embargos Mars Rover Data.

- > *Then there are those idiots who tow that*
- > *party line without even looking at the evidence,*
- > *like you, for instance, and only contribute by*
- > *ineffectual debunking of the truth. Are you*
- > *on some kind of government grant payroll?*

I contribute by debunking your insane blatherings.

- > *Do you actively debunk Global Warming*
- > *and stem cell research too?*

We need Global Warming to counteract Nuclear Winter :)

regards,
Dar7yl