

Re: equatorial bulge

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.geology/2005-05/msg00047.html>

- *From:* geraldkelleher@xxxxxxxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* 9 May 2005 05:39:40 -0700
-

Sir Jean-Paul Turcaud wrote:

> Gerald,
>
> What could be, in your view, the motor behind that Earth axial and
orbital
> motions then ?
> Inertia ?
>
> This is just to clarify your position.
>
> --
> Sir Jean-Paul Turcaud
> Australia Mining Pioneer
> Exploration Geologist
> Discoverer & Legal Owner of Telfer, Nifty & Kintyre Mines
> The Great Sandy Desert of Australia
>
> Founder of the True Geology
>
> ~~Ignorance Is The Cosmic Sin, The One Never Forgiven ! ~~
>
>
>
>
>
> <geraldkelleher@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> a écrit dans le message de news:
> 1115550905.362240.300380@xxx
>> To Don
>>
> "snip "

Hi John

The Newtonian application of terrestrial ballistics to planetary motion relies on a heliocentric cause for axial and orbital motion, he gets rid of the difficulties of independent axial and independent orbital motions by combining them into a single sidereal motion referenced off the Earth's axis and this John is an extremely unethical maneuver.

Re: equatorial bulge

<http://www.pfm.howard.edu/astronomy/Chaisson/AT401/IMAGES/AACHCIR0.JPG>

Having lost the true relationship between axial and orbital motion and isolated everything to a heliocentric orbital cause, this seals the fate of those who attribute everything to Newtonian 'gravitation and its attendant vocabulary of inertia, angular momentum ect. There is another way however to restore axial and orbital motions as independent motions.

In order to make more sense of the changing relationship between axial and orbital motion during an ice age (Milankovitch cycle) where the orbit of a planet becomes more elliptical yet retains Kepler's second law it is easier to graft in the motion of the solar system in one direction as it moves around the galactic axis rather than hunt for some heliocentric cause for the change in orbital geometry. It also opens a far more productive ground for developmental geological purposes because in the Newtonian system a stationary Earth is just as valid as a moving Earth.

There is nothing unduly difficult in incorporating the solar system's galactic orbital motion as an influence on planetary heliocentric motion no more than it does incorporating the Earth's motions as a factor in developmental geology, what may be more difficult is for men to drop the Newtonian way of thinking or for those who are intimidated once the words gravitation, inertia, angular momentum are mentioned, after all this is where Newton cooked the books to get terrestrial ballistics fitted into planetary motion.

It is an enormous and intricate endeavor for people who can keep things in flux and not retreat to the familiar cozy glow of Newtonian ballistics (which is wrong anyway) but I assure anyone who is not afraid to make a temporary mistake, the intuitive way is well worth the initial effort.

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ *Re: equatorial bulge*
◇ *From: Sir Jean-Paul Turcaud*

• *References:*

- ◆ *equatorial bulge*
◇ *From: maison.mousse*
- ◆ *Re: equatorial bulge*
◇ *From: geraldkelleher*
- ◆ *Re: equatorial bulge*
◇ *From: don findlay*
- ◆ *Re: equatorial bulge*

Re: equatorial bulge

◇ *From:* geraldkelleher

◆ ***Re: equatorial bulge***

◇ *From:* don findlay

◆ ***Re: equatorial bulge***

◇ *From:* geraldkelleher

◆ ***Re: equatorial bulge***

◇ *From:* Sir Jean–Paul Turcaud

- Prev by Date: ***Re: NASA Worldwind, & Large scale features in Africa***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Pardon my question***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: equatorial bulge***
- Next by thread: ***Re: equatorial bulge***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***