

POTM nomination: the Dead ID Scetch

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.geology/2005-12/msg00694.html>

- *From:* "Carsten Troelsgaard" <carsten.troelsgaard@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 16 Dec 2005 17:57:37 +0100
-

Honestly cut'n pasted from Talk.Origins, under the same heading.
Enjoy

Carsten

Path:

g2news1.google.com!news3.google.com!newsfeed.stanford.edu!darwin.ediacara.org!there.is.no.cabal

From: "Smurfette" <Smurfe...@xxxxx>

Newsgroups: talk.origins

Subject: Re: Face to face with a secularist

Date: Fri, 16 Dec 2005 11:07:18 GMT

Organization: ntl Virgin ADSL News Service

Lines: 56

Sender: n...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Approved: robo...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx

Message-ID: <[Gdxof.36386\\$7p5.12445@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:Gdxof.36386$7p5.12445@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>

References: <e6qdnawaTewWsj_enZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
<1134720077.598392.326350@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Reply-To: "Smurfette" <Smurfe...@xxxxx>

NNTP-Posting-Host: darwin.ediacara.org

X-Trace: darwin.ediacara.org 1134730937 53120 128.100.83.246 (16 Dec 2005 11:02:17 GMT)

X-Complaints-To: usenet@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 16 Dec 2005 11:02:17 +0000 (UTC)

X-Priority: 3

X-MSMail-Priority: Normal

X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2900.2180

X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.2180

X-RFC2646: Format=Flowed; Original

X-NNTP-Posting-Host: 86.31.195.150

"Beagle" <davidlongh...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1134720077.598392.326350@f14g2000cwb.

>

> But if you're going to make these little sketches up, why not be a bit
> more entertaining? You know, returning a dead parrot to a 'boutique'
> and claiming it couldn't possibly evolved from bleedin' dinosaurs...

POTM nomination: the Dead ID Scetch

>

Customer: Hello. I wish to complain about this so-called 'scientific theory' what I purchased not half an hour ago from this very establishment.

Salesman: Oh yes, 'Intelligent Design'. What, uh... what's wrong with it?

Customer: I'll tell you what's wrong with it, my lad. Its vacuous, that's what's wrong with it!

Salesman: No, no, uh... what we need now is to 'teach the controversy'...

Customer: Look matey, I know an empty 'argument from incredulity' when I see one, and I'm looking at one right now.

Salesman: No, no, it's not empty: it's just being elaborated. Remarkable theory, 'Intelligent Design', innit, eh? I mean, just look at all these books and articles: millions and millions of words...!

Customer: The verbiage don't enter into it, my lad. It's stone dead. It's a non-starter. Empirically untestable, it belongs in metaphysics. This 'theory' m