Re: NOAA Global Warming Climate Change Censorship

Source: http://sci.tech−archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.geology/2006−02/msg00430.html

- *From*: "El Guapo" <plethora@xxxxxxxxxxx>
- *Date*: Mon, 13 Feb 2006 15:48:53 GMT

"Alan" <alan@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:memo.20060213080519.516G@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

> In article <TZWHf.20351$_S7.19002@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>,
> plethora@xxxxxxxxxxx (El Guapo) wrote:
>
>> "Roger Coppock" <rcoppock@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
>> news:1139816951.478747.112390@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
>> > "summarily executed"
>> >
>> > I hate to sound like a conspiracy nut but,
>> > it very probably may have happened during both
>> > the nuclear power debates in the 70's and the
>> > tobacco debates in the 60's. It's enough of
>> > a possibility to wait and see if it can happen
>> > today. Count your climate scientists carefully.
>>
>> Really? Who was killed, why, and by whom?
>
> Well, David Kelly to begin with, and it would be very unwise of me to state by
> whom, while still living here. Look at all the trouble it got the BBC into.

So, what exactly was the supposed motive for killing him? I never could seem to get a bead on why the goverment supposedly needed him to be dead so badly. The reasons given always seemed pretty mushy to me.

The US had its own "David Kelly" back in the 90's − Vince Foster. People are still knocking themselves out trying to prove that his death wasn't a suicide. I assume the fervor will resume as Hillary 2008 approaches.

.