

Re: So how would you falsify it?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.geology/2006-06/msg00109.html>

- *From:* "James Foster" <jfoster@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 4 Jun 2006 16:26:47 -0700
-

don findlay wrote:

Question:–

Using first-order structures of global deformation, how could Earth Expansion be falsified? Can anyone think of a way?

if you'd just open your eyes I think you'll find that just over there, in the shade between those many other tall, barky and leafy – but by no means recognisable or distinguishable as any species of tree – objects, that "tree" there isn't really a tree; it's actually a species of woody shrub, and as a forest is, by your own definition, made up of trees, this is clearly not a forest at all but rather a shrubbery, no doubt one that was commissioned by the Knights of Ni, and therefore the other objects that you are being distracted by clearly cannot be trees at all, since we are all well aware that any trees have long since been felled with herrings by orders of the Knights.

Of course this required rather a lot of herrings, so i think we can comfortably conclude that a fishing company has grown up supporting itself purely by catering to travelers requiring herrings, and has come

to dominate the local economy to the extent that all other commerce has foundered, an unfortunate state of affairs for other businessmen no doubt, but luckily the surfeit of, now slightly the worse for wear but

perfectly edible, fish, means that at least no one is starving, though a

few ungrateful characters have been heard to bemoan the lack of variety in their diets; luckily there are only a few such malcontents and in general

I imagine it is fair and say that with a diet rich in omega-3 fatty acids the

general population is in fine fettle and as we all, I am sure, agree that fish

is brain food, then clearly this is in fact the most intelligent and enlightened

Re: So how would you falsify it?

society the world has ever known; so when i tell you that they all agree there is no forest you will be compelled to admit that i was right all along.

Rather than arguing with me, you should humbly confess your error and we should instead be focusing our energies on the awful plight of these folk, who, since there is no forest, are now doomed to starvation as there will be no more need for travelers to carry herrings, so no more battered fish for supper, and the one remaining economic mainstay in the region will go bankrupt leading to untold misery, despair and death unless, rather than wasting time attempting to argue that the classification of woody shrub is actually rather arbitrary, you join with me and help establish a relief organisation that I can be director of. QED.

James

.

Re: So how would you falsify it?