

Re: Negating Plate Tectonics – Strike 11

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.geology/2006–07/msg00026.html>

- *From:* "don findlay" <don@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 6 Jul 2006 22:08:20 –0700
-

will1 wrote:

"don findlay" <don@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1151996228.398231.166720@xx

Of course. Compression happens through gravitational collapse (e.g. Iran, Alps) and as the compressional component of shear.

I have a difficult time visualizing the Alps and the Himalayas as giant toveva blocks. They are at a higher elevation than the surrounding regions.

But you have to remember that 'elevation' means nothing in terms of absolute height from the Earth's centre, ..it is an artifact of sea level. The tops of those plateaus have not been 'uplifted', ..it's merely the sea level that has fallen. Se we don'[t look for a mechanism of tectonic uplift, ..we look for a mechanism that can cause the sea level to drop. Globally. And massively. To preserve stratigraphic sequence on the highest ('highest') parts of the Planet. And the only thing that can reasonable do that (have sea level fall to that extent) is if the Earth's surface moves outwards from the centre. Note I don't say "UP", because up carries tectonic connotations, and it's not tectonics that is driving growth.
<http://users.indigo.net.au/don/to/dolomites.html>

(Careful using the term 'gravitational collapse'. Could be taken to mean something else by sci.astro and sci.physics, like black holes.)

..... Interesting, ...I wonder what is the opposite of 'gravitational collapse'... in that sense?

What is going on under the continents in the E.E. model? That is, will Earth

Re: Negating Plate Tectonics – Strike 11

Expansion revive "The Granite Controversy"?

Certainly, ..very likely..

And just for fun, what do you think about this:

<http://www.oneoffpublishing.com/dee.html> Later, Will E.

Yes , ..Stephen Hurrell and Bill Erickson argue a good case for the large Dinosaurs not being able to exist in today's gravity field, and for gravity back then must have been less

<http://tinyurl.com/ru9kj>

.