

Re: how can I curb a crank who believes in Earth expansion pseudo-theory?

Re: how can I curb a crank who believes in Earth expansion pseudo-theory?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.geology/2007-04/msg00070.html>

- *From:* "George" <george@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 4 Apr 2007 16:56:35 -0400
-

"Timberwoof" <timberwoof.spam@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:timberwoof.spam-9899A0.22440003042007@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

In article <hi5613d3guctirqueu85oj61ko8c6et1hbp@xxxxxxx>,
J. Taylor <nchiwana@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Tue, 3 Apr 2007 20:54:00 -0400, "George"
<george@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

"James" <james.maxlow@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in
message
news:1175646554.870591.119710@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

On Apr 3, 1:29 am, "Pascal Boulerie"
<Pascal.Boule...@xxxxxxx>
wrote:

Hello,

I need advices to curb a
crank who believes in Earth
expansion
pseudo-
theory.

Grasshopper, you know nothing. May I
suggest that before you go
expressing YOUR opinion that you do your
homework and actually
quantify your statement by providing factual
evidence to disprove EE.

Re: how can I curb a crank who believes in Earth expansion pseudo–theory?

Grasshopper eater, before you attempt to tell others what they should and should not do, perhaps you should point out how the rules have changed such that people who support the current established paradigm are required to prove or disprove anyone else's theory. It is for EE supporters to provide not only a theory that better explains the facts than does plate tectonics and make testable and repeatable predictions from that theory, it is also up to EEs to provide such an explanation in such a manner that it is falsifiable, testable, and repeatable by others. Well? We are still waiting, Mr. Maxlow, if that is truly who you are?

Ok, George, using the pattern on the ocean floor recreate the previous position of the continents.

Can't be done unless you also take into consideration the stratigraphic, geomagnetic, and petrologic similarities across the oceans and bring the continents together so that that data is properly aligned. This was done with great success for the Atlantic basin. It cannot be done for the Pacific basin because the the stratigraphic, geomagnetic, and the petrographic data do not coincide.

(You imply that George should use only that evidence. Why this restriction? Oh, I forgot: other evidence such as magnetic fields in igneous rocks supports plate tectonics.)

Right. It does support plate tectonics. It doesn't support expansion.

Be sure and show old crust you claim existed and all subduction zones needed to achieve your results.

No problemo:

Re: how can I curb a crank who believes in Earth expansion pseudo–theory?

Re: how can I curb a crank who believes in Earth expansion pseudo–theory?

<http://csmres.jmu.edu/geollab/vageol/vahist/K–LatPal.html>

http://gsa.confex.com/gsa/2006AM/finalprogram/abstract_114552.htm

<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003EAEJA.....8207S>

<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1991GeoRL..18.1301K>

There are hundreds more examples where those came from. Need more? Google is your friend.

Next.

(The old crust got subducted away.)

Not all of it. See above.

George

.