

# Re: evidences against subduction theory

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.geology/2007-07/msg00254.html>

---

- *From:* Stuart <[bigdakine@xxxxxxx](mailto:bigdakine@xxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 10 Jul 2007 11:28:51 -0700
- 

On Jul 9, 9:14 pm, J. Taylor <[nchiw...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:nchiw...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Tue, 10 Jul 2007 16:05:04 +1000, "Landy" <[n...@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:n...@xxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

"J. Taylor" <[nchiw...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:nchiw...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:ah36931onqi2ecj0315g4obdbjrumabmbr@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:ah36931onqi2ecj0315g4obdbjrumabmbr@xxxxxxxxxxx)

On Mon, 09 Jul 2007 21:10:24 -0700, Timberwoof  
<[timberwoof.s...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:timberwoof.s...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

In article  
<[1184037598.061334.127...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:1184037598.061334.127...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>,  
Stuart <[bigdak...@xxxxxxx](mailto:bigdak...@xxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Jul 9, 3:51 pm, J. Taylor  
<[nchiw...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:nchiw...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>  
wrote:

On Mon, 09  
Jul 2007  
18:35:43  
-0700,  
Timberwoof

<[timberwoof.s...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:timberwoof.s...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>  
wrote:

In  
article  
<[1i10a2x.1tc1clfupi1t0N%firstn...@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:1i10a2x.1tc1clfupi1t0N%firstn...@xxxxxxxxxxx)>,  
firstn...@xxxxxxxxxxx  
(Florian)

Re: evidences against subduction theory

wrote:

Timberwoof

<timberwoof.s...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

wrote:

Okay,  
then.  
Explain  
where  
the  
extra  
mass  
came  
from.

Yeah  
right.  
Do  
you  
really  
expect  
that  
one  
could  
reveal  
you  
the  
secret  
of  
the  
universe  
while  
physicists  
struggle  
for  
one  
century?  
That  
is  
ludicrous.

Re: evidences against subduction theory

So  
in  
other  
words,  
you  
have  
no  
clue  
how  
these  
violations  
of  
laws  
of  
physics  
could  
happen.  
In  
order  
to  
do  
so,  
you  
have  
to  
invoke  
some  
unknown,  
unverifiable,  
undisprovable  
(and  
therefore  
magic)  
process.  
It's  
indistinguishable  
from  
"God  
did  
it."

No one  
knows  
where mass  
comes from,  
period.

Re: evidences against subduction theory

I guess you haven't read  
many books on modern  
cosmology.

Google "Big Bang"

The ultimate nature of matter is irrelevant,  
and bringing it into the  
discussion on expanding earth is a  
smokescreen. What EEs ignore is  
what \*is\* known about matter, and two of  
those things are that rocks  
won't compress to 8X their usual density and  
matter doesn't magically  
appear inside a planet (or any other  
experimental body).

Did not bring up matter. The way each of you mislead each  
other is a  
study in itself.

Again – explain how you can have an increase in mass without an increase in  
matter.

Good question, there is not an answer. It is not known

Look up Higgs Boson, Higgs Field

What does that have to do with expanding earth?

Stuart

.