

Re: evidences against subduction theory

Re: evidences against subduction theory

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.geo.geology/2007-07/msg00255.html>

- *From:* Timberwoof <timberwoof.spam@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 10 Jul 2007 12:13:01 -0700
-

In article <1184092131.920348.275000@xx>, Stuart <bigdakine@xxxxxxx> wrote:

On Jul 9, 9:14 pm, J. Taylor <nchiw...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Tue, 10 Jul 2007 16:05:04 +1000, "Landy" <n...@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

"J. Taylor" <nchiw...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:ah36931onqi2ecj0315g4obdbjrumabmbr@xxxxxxxxxxx

On Mon, 09 Jul 2007 21:10:24 -0700,
Timberwoof
<timberwoof.s...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

In article
<1184037598.061334.127...@xx>,
Stuart
<bigdak...@xxxxxxx>
wrote:

On Jul 9,
3:51 pm, J.
Taylor
<nchiw...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

On
Mon,
09
Jul
2007

Re: evidences against subduction theory

18:35:43
-0700,
Timberwoof

<timberwoof.s...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

In
article
<1i10a2x.1tc1clfupi1t0N%firstn...@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
firstn...@xxxxxxxxxxxx
(Florian)
wrote:

Timberwoof
<timberwoof.s...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote:

Okay,
then.
Explain
where
the
extra
mass
came
from.

Yeah
right.
Do
you
really
expect
that
one
could
reveal
you
the
secret
of
the

Re: evidences against subduction theory

universe
while
physicists
struggle
for
one
century?
That
is
ludicrous.

So
in
other
words,
you
have
no
clue
how
these
violations
of
laws
of
physics
could
happen.
In
order
to
do
so,
you
have
to
invoke
some
unknown,
unverifiable,
undisprovable
(and
therefore
magic)
process.
It's
indistinguishable
from
"God

Re: evidences against subduction theory

did
it."

No
one
knows
where
mass
comes
from,
period.

I guess you
haven't read
many books
on modern
cosmology.

Google
"Big Bang"

The ultimate nature of
matter is irrelevant, and
bringing it into the
discussion on expanding
earth is a smokescreen.
What EEers ignore is
what *is* known about
matter, and two of those
things are that rocks
won't compress to 8X their
usual density and matter
doesn't magically
appear inside a planet (or
any other experimental
body).

Did not bring up matter. The way each of
you mislead each other is a
study in itself.

Re: evidences against subduction theory

Again – explain how you can have an increase in mass without an increase in matter.

Good question, there is not an answer. It is not known

Look up Higgs Boson, Higgs Field

What does that have to do with expanding earth?

Nothing. It's just a smokescreen to deflect attention from the real issue, which is that neither the Higgs Boson nor the Higgs Field can explain it. If he knew how that could work, he'd explain it. Instead, he's trying to figure it out and is sending smarter people than himself to go research the answer for him.

—

Timberwoof <me at timberwoof dot com> <http://www.timberwoof.com>
"When you post sewage, don't blame others for emptying chamber pots in your direction." ?Chris L.
an important web site: <http://www.muslim-refusenik.com/>

.